



Review Article

## Diversity and the role of endophytic bacteria: a review

Sofia S. KHAN, Vijeshwar VERMA and Shafaq RASOOL\*

School of Biotechnology, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Kakryal, Katra, J&K, India, 182320

\* correspondence: [shafaq.rasool@smvdu.ac.in](mailto:shafaq.rasool@smvdu.ac.in)

### ABSTRACT:

Endophytes belong to a widespread group of microorganisms that colonise intracellular and intercellular spaces in all known plant parts but do not cause diseases or major morphological changes to the host. Endophytic bacteria ubiquitously colonise plant internal tissues, where they can form a variety of interactions, including commensalistic, symbiotic, trophobiotic and mutualistic. Endophytic bacteria produce pharmaceutically important compounds such as antimicrobials, antioxidants, industrial enzymes, antidiabetics and anti-cancer agents. In addition, endophytes can also support their host by producing a variety of natural products for potential use in medicine, agriculture or industry. This group of bacteria can have a tremendous impact on plant communities, raising their fitness by endowing tolerance to biotic and abiotic stress. There are great prospects for searching, selecting and studying new endophytic bacteria species in order to create new microbial preparations for adaptive crop production, while reducing the environmental impacts of agriculture. The present review summarises studies to date about endophytic bacteria, including topics such as isolation methods, the diversity of these bacteria and their biological roles.

### Keywords:

endophytes, biological roles, histological localisation, biocontrol, antimicrobial activity

UDC: 631.8:579.64

Received: 26 March 2020

Revision accepted: 04 June 2020

## INTRODUCTION

The term endophyte was initially introduced by DE BARY in 1866 to describe any organism found within the plant's tissues, distinct from the epiphytes, which reside on the plant's surface (DE BARY 1866). Various definitions of endophytes have been used in the literature, terms like endophytic microorganisms, which are described as asymptomatic microbes residing in plants (CARROLL 1991), whereas the bacteria that spend part or all of their life cycle colonising intra-cellular or inter-cellular spaces in petiole, leaves, stem and roots within healthy plant tissues are usually considered endophytic bacteria (WILSON 1995). Endophytes are regarded as microbes which are culturable or occupy the inside of plant tissues, do not harm their hosts and therefore do not grow external structures (ARAÚJO *et al.* 2001). This description has been revised by MENDES & AZEVEDO (2007) who re-

gate endophytic microorganisms to two groups: group I includes all those that don't generate external structures from the host, and group II includes those that can produce external structures, such as mycorrhizal fungal nodules and nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Different definitions have been suggested, but the most accepted one is "Endophytes are microorganisms which reside in a wide variety of plant tissues without causing any apparent infection to the host" (HALLMANN *et al.* 1997). Endophytism is now considered as a universal phenomenon, and it is believed that all plants harbour endophytic bacteria (COMPANT *et al.* 2010; DUDEJA & GIRI 2014). The endophytic bacteria can have immense potential in the uptake of nutrients (DE SOUZA *et al.* 2016; RIBEIRO *et al.* 2018), growth promotion (RAMANUJ & SHELAT 2018; SANTOS *et al.* 2018), as biofertilizers (NGAMAU *et al.* 2014; SANTOS *et al.* 2018), as biocontrol agents (KANDEL *et al.* 2017; SELIM *et al.* 2017) and in secondary metab-

olite production (BRADER *et al.* 2014; SINGH *et al.* 2017; PALANICHAMY *et al.* 2018).

## DIVERSITY OF ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA

Endophytic bacteria are common to all species of vascular plants (STURZ *et al.* 2000). They are found in both monocotyledon and dicotyledon species (RYAN *et al.* 2008). The colonisation of endophytic bacteria in ferns, algae and bryophytes has already been described (COLOMBO 1978; HOLLANTS *et al.* 2011; SHCHERBAKOV *et al.* 2013; LIU *et al.* 2014; DAS *et al.* 2017a; TIAN & LI 2017; MAHLANGU & SEREPA-DLAMINI 2018). All groups of plants ranging from seagrasses (MARHAENI *et al.* 2011; GARCÍAS-BONET *et al.* 2012) to large trees (SHEN & FULTHORPE 2015; PURI *et al.* 2017) harbour endophytic bacteria. They are present in lichens as well (BATES *et al.* 2011). Several attempts have been made to determine the overall number of endophytic bacteria. The number of endophytic bacterial species, however, may vary due to the development of new techniques and methods to identify this diverse group of microorganisms. Previous research on the diversity of endophytic populations has shown that different plant hosts may harbour a similar population of endophytic bacteria (MUNDT & HINKLE 1976). Moreover, a single host plant species may house multiple endophytic genera as well as species, and the scope of the endophytic community may be determined by the tissue, plant type or isolation season (KUKLINSKY-SOBRAL *et al.* 2004; MILIUTE *et al.* 2015).

A database of all 16S rDNA sequences currently allocated to endophytes, along with uncultured and cultured microbes, showed that even though the sequences correspond to a total of 23 different phyla of bacteria, four of them (Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria) account for 96% of all endophytic sequences of the prokaryotes (HARDOIM *et al.* 2015). Among them, more than 50% of the sequences in the database are proteobacteria. Gamma-proteobacteria isolates seem to be the most frequently found as endophytes within this phylum, including genera like *Enterobacter*, *Serratia*, *Pantoea*, *Pseudomonas*, *Stenotrophomonas* and *Acinetobacter*. But on the other hand, *Microbacterium*, *Staphylococcus* (Firmicutes), *Streptomyces*, *Arthrobacter* (within Actinobacteria), *Paenibacillus*, *Mycobacterium* and *Bacillus* are all well-identified among endophytic microbes (HARDOIM *et al.* 2015). The group of  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria is dominant and most diverse in agricultural crops (MILIUTE *et al.* 2015).

Endophytic bacteria are traditionally isolated from plant tissues which are surface-sterilised and grown in a nutrient-rich medium. Several endophytes have been recently reported using culture-independent approaches like whole-genome sequencing, sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene or use of the internal transcribed spacer regions ITS1 and ITS2 of endophytic communi-

ties (TAGHAVI *et al.* 2009; IKEDA *et al.* 2010; SESSITSCH *et al.* 2012; TURNER *et al.* 2013; YANG *et al.* 2017). Typically, endophytic bacteria have been enumerated and characterised by traditional culture-based approaches, although these methods are largely dependent on the isolation medium and incubation conditions, whereas culture-independent 16S rRNA-based techniques, on the other hand, can identify unculturable bacterial colonisers as well as those that are so low in number or grow so slowly that traditional culture-based protocols ignore them.

Endophytic bacteria based on colonisation can be grouped into 'facultative', 'obligate' and 'passive' depending on whether or not tissue of the plant is required for them to reproduce and live. Bacteria obtained from any part of the plant but inside plant tissue and unable to live in the soil are known as obligate endophytic bacteria (LIU *et al.* 2017). Bacteria that are widespread in the soil and carry out infection and colonisation where appropriate conditions are available are known as facultative endophytic bacteria. Many facultative endophytic bacteria are in the cortex, but some also reach the central xylem and phloem as well (COMPANT *et al.* 2010). The passive mode of colonisation of endophytes is defined as the mode taken by bacteria that are unable to infect and colonise. They can invade endophytic niches of plants through cracks and wounds on the plant (AMBROSE *et al.* 2013). Bacteria can thus colonise a plant extracellularly as well as intracellularly. Despite being tracked in all parts of the plant, roots with the most intimate contact with soil are the first ones to attract endophytic bacteria. Endophytic bacteria can have a genetic basis for their different patterns of infection and colonisation, which may correlate with their patterns of interaction in plants (LIU *et al.* 2017). Studies have confirmed the presence of endophytic bacteria in different plants. The detailed list is summarised in Table 1.

## HISTOLOGICAL LOCALISATION, ISOLATION AND PURIFICATION OF ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA

Endophytic bacteria thrive within healthy tissues of the plant; thus disease-free, lesion-free and fresh parts of the plant should be selected at the time of isolation and histological location. Explants must be preserved at 4°C until endophyte isolation. Rapid changes in the colonisation of endophytes are not likely to happen immediately after collection. However, it is important that samples must be processed and handled carefully as soon as possible following collection, generally within 48 hours. Samples should be air-dried before transporting or storing to remove any surface moisture. They should be kept cold and dry during transportation. It is preferred to hold samples in paper envelopes and cotton. The use of plastic bags to hold samples is discouraged, but if used they

**Table 1.** List of endophytic bacteria isolated from various vascular plants and their biological activity if any.

Plant species	Plant family	Endophytic bacteria isolated (and their bioactivity if any)	Reference
<i>Allium cepa</i> L.	Alliaceae	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	PLEBAN <i>et al.</i> 1995
<i>Amaranthus spinosus</i> L.	Amaranthaceae	<i>Exiguobacterium profundum</i> strain N4	SHARMA & ROY 2015
<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> (Burm. f.) Nees	Acanthaceae	<i>Consortia</i> spp.	AZIZ <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> Nees.	Acanthaceae	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> KL1	ROY <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Artemisia annua</i> L.	Asteraceae	<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. (antibacterial and antifungal*)	*LI <i>et al.</i> 2012b
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss.	Meliaceae	<i>Nocardia</i> sp. (antibacterial*), <i>Streptomyces</i> sp. (antifungal*)	*VERMA <i>et al.</i> 2009
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L.	Amaranthaceae	<i>Pseudomonas poae</i> RE 1-1-14	ZACHOW <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Boesenbergia rotunda</i> (L.) Mansf.	Zingiberaceae	<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. BO-07	TAECHOWISAN <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L.	Brassicaceae	<i>Enterobacter</i> sp., <i>Herbaspirillum</i> sp.	ZAKRIA <i>et al.</i> 2008
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. var. <i>botrytis</i> L.	Brassicaceae	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	PLEBAN <i>et al.</i> 1995
<i>Bruguiera gymnorhiza</i> (L.) Lam.	Rhizophoraceae	<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. GT2002/1503 (anti-HIV*)	*DING <i>et al.</i> 2010
<i>Capsicum annum</i> L.	Solanaceae	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> AVSCE5 (KM104324) (antibacterial*)	*SYED <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Capsicum frutescens</i> L.	Solanaceae	<i>Achromobacter piechaudi</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> spp., <i>Cupriavidus pauculus</i> , <i>Corynebacterium minutissimum</i> , <i>Proteus</i> spp., <i>P. rettgeri</i> , <i>Serratia marcescens</i> , <i>Staphylococcus delphini</i>	AMARESAN <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Catharanthus roseus</i> L.	Apocynaceae	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Brevundimonas</i> sp., <i>Curtobacterium</i> sp., <i>Erwinia</i> sp.	LI <i>et al.</i> 2012a
<i>Centella asiatica</i> (L.) Urb.	Apiaceae	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Serratia marcescens</i>	NONGKHLAW & JOSHI 2015b
<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	Amaranthaceae	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	BEIRANVAND <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Citrus nobilis</i> Lour	Rutaceae	<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. TQR12-4 (antifungal*)	*HONG-THAO <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Coffea arabica</i> L.	Rubiaceae	<i>Paenibacillus amylolyticus</i>	SAKIYAMA <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Curcuma aeruginosa</i> Roxb.	Zingiberaceae	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> (antibacterial*), <i>Bacillus cereus</i> (antibacterial*)	*INDRAWATI <i>et al.</i> 2018a
<i>Curcuma xanthorrhiza</i> Roxb.	Zingiberaceae	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> (antibacterial*), <i>Lysinibacillus sphaericus</i> (antibacterial*)	*INDRAWATI <i>et al.</i> 2018a
<i>Curcuma zedoaria</i> (Christm.) Roscoe	Zingiberaceae	<i>Bacillus</i> spp. (2) (both isolates antibacterial*)	*INDRAWATI <i>et al.</i> 2018a
<i>Dendrobium</i> sp.	Orchidaceae	<i>Bacillus megaterium</i> (antibacterial*)	*WANG <i>et al.</i> 2019

Plant species	Plant family	Endophytic bacteria isolated (and their bioactivity if any)	Reference
<i>Fagonia indica</i> L.	Zygophyllaceae	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>B. tequilensis</i> , <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> , <i>Enterobacter hormaechei</i> , <i>Pantoea dispersa</i> <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	RAHMAN <i>et al.</i> 2017 (antibacterial, antifungal and antiprotozoal activity of isolates)
<i>Glycyrrhiza uralensis</i> Fisch. ex DC.	Fabaceae	<i>Bacillus atrophaeus</i> (antibacterial*), <i>B. mojavensis</i> (antifungal*)	*MOHAMAD <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	Asteraceae	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	PLEBAN <i>et al.</i> 1995
<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> L.	Malvaceae	<i>Pseudomonas oryzihabitans</i>	BHAGAT <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i> (L.) Kuntze	Lamiaceae	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> sp., (antibacterial*) <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (antibacterial*)	*DAS <i>et al.</i> 2017b
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.	Asteraceae	<i>Pseudomonas viridiflava</i> (antifungal*)	*MILLER <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Litsea cubeba</i> (Lour.) Pers.	Lauraceae	<i>Bacillus siamensis</i>	NONGKHLAW & JOSHI 2015b
<i>Malus domestica</i> Borkh.	Rosaceae	<i>Bacillus</i> spp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	TAMOŠIŪNĖ <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	Anacardiaceae	<i>Bacillus clausii</i> , <i>B. licheniformis</i> , <i>B. pumilus</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> sp.	KANNAN <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Manihot esculenta</i> L.	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> (antifungal*)	*MELO <i>et al.</i> 2009
<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.	Fabaceae	<i>Bacillus megaterium</i> , <i>B. chosinensis</i> , <i>Erwinia</i> sp., <i>Microbacterium trichothecenolyticum</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.	GAGNÉ <i>et al.</i> 1987; STAJKOVIĆ <i>et al.</i> 2009; LÓPEZ <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Monstera</i> sp.	Araceae	<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. (antibacterial and antifungal*)	*EZRA <i>et al.</i> 2004
<i>Moringa peregrina</i> (Frossk.) Fiori	Moringaceae	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> MpKL1 (antibacterial*)	*ALJURAIFANI <i>et al.</i> 2019
<i>Musa</i> sp.	Musaceae	<i>Agrobacterium</i> sp., <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> , <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> , <i>Citrobacter</i> sp., <i>Klebsiella variicola</i>	WEBER <i>et al.</i> 1999; MARTÍNEZ <i>et al.</i> 2003; ROSENBLUETH <i>et al.</i> 2004
<i>Ocimum sanctum</i> L.	Lamiaceae	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Enterobacter</i> sp. (antibacterial activity*)	TIWARI <i>et al.</i> 2010; *MUHSININ <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Ophiopogon japonicus</i> (L.f.) Ker Gawl.	Asparagaceae	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i>	CHEN <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Oryza sativa</i> L	Poaceae	<i>Agrobacterium</i> sp., <i>Azorhizobium caulinodans</i> , <i>Bradyrhizobium japonicum</i> , <i>Chromobacterium violaceum</i> , <i>Pseudomonas stutzeri</i> A15, <i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i> , <i>Sphingobacterium</i> sp.	YANNI <i>et al.</i> 1997; CHARENTREUIL <i>et al.</i> 2000; ENGELHARD <i>et al.</i> 2000; PHILLIPS <i>et al.</i> 2000; MORONTA-BARRIOS <i>et al.</i> 2017; PHAM <i>et al.</i> 2017;
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.	Fabaceae	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	PLEBAN <i>et al.</i> 1995

Plant species	Plant family	Endophytic bacteria isolated (and their bioactivity if any)	Reference
<i>Pinellia ternata</i> (Thunb.) Berit.	Araceae	<i>Aranicola proteolyticus</i> , <i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>B. licheniformis</i> , <i>B. thuringiensis</i> , <i>Serratia liquefaciens</i>	LIU <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Plectranthus tenuiflorus</i> (Vatke) Agnew	Lamiaceae	<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i> , <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> , <i>B. megaterium</i> , <i>B. pumilus</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> sp. (antibacterial*), <i>Micrococcus luteus</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> sp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. (antifungal*)	*EL-DEEB <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Populus</i> sp.	Salicaceae	<i>Rhizobium tropici</i>	DOTY <i>et al.</i> 2005
<i>Potentilla fulgens</i> Wall. ex Hook.	Rosaceae	<i>Bacillus methylotrophicus</i>	NONGKHLAW & JOSHI 2015b
<i>Pyrenacantha volubilis</i> Hook.	Icacinaceae	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> KY741854, <i>Bacillus</i> sp. KP125955 and KP125956, <i>B. subtilis</i> KY741853	SOUJANYA <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.	Brassicaceae	<i>Enterobacter</i> sp. (antifungal*), <i>B. subtilis</i> (antibacterial*)	*SEO <i>et al.</i> 2010
<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.	Poaceae	<i>Acetobacter diazotrophicus</i> , <i>Azospirillum amazonense</i> , <i>Burkholderia tropica</i> , <i>Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus</i> , <i>Herbaspirillum seropedicae</i> , <i>H. rubrisubalbicans</i>	DONG <i>et al.</i> 1994; OLIVARES <i>et al.</i> 1997; BENEDUZI <i>et al.</i> 2013; PEREIRA <i>et al.</i> 2013; SILVA GIRIO <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.	Solanaceae	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	TIAN <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i> Nutt.	Taxaceae	<i>Paenibacillus kribbensis</i>	ISLAM <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Teucrium polium</i> L.	Lamiaceae	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i>	HASSAN 2017
<i>Tridax procumbens</i> L.	Asteraceae	<i>Bacillus</i> spp., <i>Cronobacter sakazakii</i> , <i>Enterobacter</i> spp., <i>Lysinibacillus sphaericus</i> , <i>Pantoea</i> spp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., <i>Terribacillus saccharophilus</i>	PREVEENA & BHORE 2013
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.	Vitaceae	<i>Bacillus atrophaeus</i> SP13 <i>B. megaterium</i> Sof, <i>B. pumilus</i> SP7, <i>Comamonas</i> sp.	BELL <i>et al.</i> 1995; SHCHERBAKOV <i>et al.</i> 2009
<i>Withania somnifera</i> (L.) Dunal	Solanaceae	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> , <i>B. horneckiae</i> , <i>B. pumilus</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Brevibacterium frigoritolerans</i> , <i>Micrococcus luteus</i> , <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> , <i>Rhizobium sullae</i> , <i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i>	PANDEY <i>et al.</i> 2018

should be kept open to prevent the growth of superficial moulds and for circulation of air to prevent condensation (STONE *et al.* 2004). Samples are surface-sterilised by different methods (ZINNIEL *et al.* 2002; GORYLUK *et al.* 2009; QIN *et al.* 2009; COSTA *et al.* 2012; YAISH *et al.* 2015; MAHLANGU & SEREPA-DLAMINI 2018). Surface sterilisation procedures vary depending on the preference of the researcher, host tissue type and plant species sampled, but the most often used surface-sterilisation protocol followed is that of AHMED *et al.* (2012), ANJUM & CHANDRA (2015) and SHUKLA & WAHLA (2019). Explants are washed under running tap water before the isolation of endophytic bacteria, and this is accompanied by surface sterilisation, which differs depending on the form of contaminants and explant. The midrib and lateral stem, roots and leaves are sliced into pieces (0.5-1.0 cm). These surface-sterilised materials are further sterilised with (0.1% w/v) mercuric chloride, different concentrations of ethanol (70%, 80%, 90% and absolute) for some seconds to minutes (30 seconds to 1 minute) in a laminar airflow cabinet and sodium hypochlorite (4%) for 2-3 minutes, which is accompanied by rinsing with sterile water (double-distilled and deionised) to remove sterilisation traces and use of sterile tissue paper to dry the explants by blotting. Bacterial endophytes in the host plants do not cause any signs of disease, and their interaction requires a metabolic exchange. It is therefore difficult to identify their existence externally. As a result, the presence of endophytic bacteria in healthy plant tissues is typically identified by culture-based methods. The surface-sterilised explants are inoculated on sterile Luria Bertani Agar (LB), Tryptic Soy Agar, Rich Media, Nutrient Agar Medium, King B Agar and other media types supplemented with 100 µg/ml of cycloheximide (ZINNIEL *et al.* 2002; YAN *et al.* 2018) closed with the aid of parafilm and incubated at  $37 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  in an incubator under controlled conditions to promote bacterial growth. Tryptic Soy Agar and Nutrient Agar are widely used isolation media for the isolation of endophytic bacteria and the incubation temperature and time period most often used for isolation is  $28^\circ\text{C}$  for 2-3 weeks (FERREIRA *et al.* 2008; ARAVIND *et al.* 2009; COSTA *et al.* 2012; LUMACTUD *et al.* 2016; INDRAWATI *et al.* 2018a; MAHLANGU & SEREPA-DLAMINI 2018). The plates are observed regularly for growth of endophytes. The isolated endophytes can be identified based on their morphological, biochemical and molecular characteristics.

### BIOLOGICAL ROLES OF ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA

Endophytes associated with plants of ethnomedicinal importance can be helpful in the production of natural products with novel bioactivities (NONGKHLAW & JOSHI 2015a). The ability of endophytic bacteria to produce interesting and new bioactive secondary metabolites

of agricultural, industrial and pharmaceutical importance (STROBEL 2006; PANDEY *et al.* 2017) makes them interesting candidates for research purposes. They play important roles in different fields of life, ranging from their impacts on host plants to their effects on the environment and human life. Endophytic bacteria are capable of synthesizing bioactive compounds that can be used by plants to defend against pathogens or stimulate plant growth, and certain endophytes can be useful in the process of drug discovery. The natural products produced by endophytic bacteria have been shown to have different bioactivities and structures helpful against various diseases. This creates a vast potential of endophytes for the production of secondary metabolites of industrial, agricultural and medicinal importance. For example, endophytic bacteria isolated from the climbing shrub *Miquelia dentate* Bedd. (Icacianaceae) produce camptothecin, an anti-cancer alkaloid. Camptothecin (CPT)-based drugs remain attractive to scientists globally, and more CPT analogues are developing as promising chemotherapeutic agents. It is believed that CPT will continue to attract much attention from the pharmaceutical industry as well as from the academic community. Endophytic bacteria are one of the most diverse and understudied groups of microorganisms. They are omnipresent and found in nearly all plants, including a wide range of hosts in different ecosystems, and thus play a key role in the natural environment. The products of these microorganisms remain poorly characterised despite extensive work in this field. They have appeared as a major boosting factor and have strongly affected human beings in various ways, like their impact on plants and the environment, health care, nutrient cycling, bioremediation, biodegradation and agriculture. It is believed that endophytic bacterial products will be a cheap source of raw material for health, farming and other industries in the future, and more compounds will certainly be isolated from this unique group of bacteria in view of the latest advances in culturing techniques and screening methods used to identify various bioactive molecules.

### ROLE OF ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA IN PHYTOSTIMULATION

As a consequence of increasing growth of the human population worldwide and damage to the environment, world production of food may soon be inadequate to feed all the people of the globe. In this regard, the population of the world, currently around 7.7 billion people, is projected to increase in the next 50 years to about 10 billion (FAO 2017). In order to feed such a large human population, it is essential to significantly increase agricultural productivity over the next few decades. That, however, is not an easy task. We will have to come up with alternative approaches and strategies in order to address this challenge. One such



alternative that has been in vogue is the systematic use of plant growth-promoting endophytic bacteria or endophytes (PGPEBs) in agriculture. These PGPEBs have been reported in various studies (TAGHAVI *et al.* 2009; WEILHARTER *et al.* 2011; ALI *et al.* 2012; RASHID *et al.* 2012; JASIM *et al.* 2014; BORAH *et al.* 2019).

One of the very significant mechanisms that such PGPEBs employ is phytostimulation. Phytostimulation basically involves the production of phytohormones which act as plant growth regulators (BENEDUZI *et al.* 2012). Phytohormones such as gibberellins, cytokinins and auxins promote changes in root morphology, absorption of water and uptake of nutrients (SANTOS *et al.* 2018). It is pertinent to look at how PGPEBs aid in phytostimulation. It has been shown that some PGPEBs like *Arthrobacter* spp., *Bacillus* spp., *Pseudomonas putida* and *Rhodococcus* spp. release the ACC deaminase enzyme (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid), which lowers the ethylene levels of plants, thereby enhancing their growth (GAIERO *et al.* 2013). It should be noted that increased ethylene levels in a plant inhibit cell division, shoot/ root growth and DNA synthesis, which is an impediment to a plant's growth (GAIERO *et al.* 2013).

Phytohormone production is a common characteristic of endophytic bacteria that raises tolerance of the plant to abiotic stresses and promotes plant growth (UMAMAHESWARI *et al.* 2013; PIETERSE *et al.* 2014; EGAMBERDIEVA *et al.* 2017). Severe threats to agroecosystems are abiotic stresses such as drought, extreme temperatures, oxidative stress, heavy metal toxicity and salinity (ARORA 2015). The expression and induction of stress-responsive genes, the production of scavenger molecules such as ROS and antistress metabolite synthesis are molecular mechanisms adopted by endophytes to improve stress tolerance in host plants (LATA *et al.* 2018). *Enterobacter* sp. (SA187), a desert plant *Indigofera argentea* Brum. f. (Fabaceae) endophyte colonising *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. (Brassicaceae) shoots and roots, has been shown to induce salt stress tolerance through the formation of bacterial 2-keto-4-methylthiobutyric acid (KMBA), which modulates the ethylene signalling pathway of the plant. *Enterobacter* sp. (SA187) using this novel mechanism was found to be effective in increasing the yield of alfalfa crops (*Medicago sativa* L., Fabaceae) under *in vitro* conditions of salt stress (DE ZÉLICOURT *et al.* 2018). *Burkholderia phytofirmans* (PsJNT), an endofungal bacterium isolated from the fungus *Glomus vesiculiferum* (Thaxt.) Gerd. & Trappe (Glomeraceae), showed significant plant growth-promoting effects and was reported to increase resistance and plant vigour in plants like *Solanum tuberosum* L. (Solanaceae) and *Zea mays* L. (Poaceae) exposed to abiotic and biotic stresses (WEILHARTER *et al.* 2011). *Bacillus pumilus* (2A) isolated from the synanthropic plant *Chelidonium majus* L. (Papaveraceae) was found to produce biosurfactants that can act as plant-growth-promoting agents (MARCHUT-MIKOLA-

JCZYK *et al.* 2018). A maximum of 10 endophytic bacteria isolated from wild *Pistacia atlantica* Desf. (Anacardiaceae), belonging to different genera such as *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Serratia* and *Pantoea*, were able to produce different amounts of the phytohormone gibberellic acid (GA) (ETMINANI & HARIGHI 2018).

Endophytes also play a major role in the absorption of essential nutrients needed for plant growth. For example, endophytic *Bacillus* strains B1920, B2088, B2084 and B1923 isolated from *Zea mays* L. (Poaceae) enhanced nutrient uptake during growth of pearl millet under low-phosphorus conditions (RIBEIRO *et al.* 2018). Future use of the strains treated in this study may lead to the creation of robust PGPBE inoculants that are so reliable that minor changes in external environmental factors will not impact the effectiveness of plant growth promotion. With a more comprehensive understanding of the functioning of endophytic bacteria, future generations may be able to design ones that can be used for more efficient crop production.

## ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA AS ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS

Antimicrobial activity is the process of inhibiting or killing disease-causing microorganisms. Different antimicrobial agents are used for this purpose. Antimicrobials may be anti-viral, anti-bacterial and anti-fungal. At present, bacterial infections are one of the main causes of human and animal mortality and chronic diseases. Antibiotics have been the best method for treating bacterial infections due to powerful outcomes and favourable cost-effectiveness. However, various studies have provided clear proof that the broad use of antibiotics has resulted in the development of multidrug-resistant bacterial strains. Due to the indiscriminate use of antibiotics, so-called superbacteria which are resistant to almost all antibiotics have emerged. For this reason, attention has been focused on the isolation of exciting and new endophytic bacterial strains with antimicrobial activity. Endophytic bacteria are highly suitable for their efficiency and have no unwanted effects. *Bacillus* sp. CY22 (an endophytic bacterium) isolated from *Platycodon grandiflorum* (Jacq.) A. DC. (Campanulaceae) has been reported to have beneficial antimicrobial effects against the fungal plant pathogens *Pythium ultimum*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Phytophthora capsici* and *Fusarium oxysporum* (CHO *et al.* 2002). Endophytic bacteria have received much recognition in recent years for the development of a variety of antimicrobial compounds with a novel mechanism of action due to their non-detrimental and intimate association with plants (STROBEL 2003). Various secondary metabolites obtained from endophytic bacteria have found applications in medicine (ADHIKARI *et al.* 2001; GUNATILAKA 2006). A novel family of peptide antimycotics, termed ecomycins (namely ecomycins

**Table 2.** Endophytic bacteria exhibiting various enhanced enzymatic activities.

Known endophytic bacteria	Enzyme activity reported	Reference
<i>Pseudomonas oryzae</i>	Asparaginase	BHAGAT <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Bacillus clausii</i> , <i>B. licheniformis</i> , <i>B. pumilus</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Amylase, cellulase, lipase, protease	KANNAN <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Paenibacillus amylolyticus</i>	Pectin lyase	SAKIYAMA <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.	Exo- $\beta$ -agarase	GUPTA <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Bacillus methylotrophicus</i> , <i>B. siamensis</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>Serratia marcescens</i>	L-asparaginase	NONGKHLAW & JOSHI 2015b
<i>Alcaligenes faecalis</i> , <i>Burkholderia cepacia</i> , <i>Enterobacter hormaechei</i>	Cellulase, hemicellulase, ligninase	LEO <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i>	Exopolysaccharase	CHEN <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	Anthracene-degrading enzyme	ROY <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Actinomyces pyogenes</i> , <i>Bacillus circulans</i> , <i>B. coagulans</i> , <i>B. licheniformis</i> , <i>B. megaterium</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Corynebacterium renale</i> , <i>Pseudomonas stutzeri</i> , <i>Staphylococcus</i> sp.	Amylase, cellulase, pectinase, xylanase	CARRIM <i>et al.</i> 2006
<i>Bacillus aerophilus</i> , <i>B. anthracis</i> , <i>B. tequilensis</i> , <i>Chryseobacterium indologenes</i> , <i>Enterobacter ludwigii</i> , <i>Macrococcus caseolyticus</i> , <i>Pseudomonas entomophila</i> , <i>P. hibiscicola</i>	Amylase, esterase, lipase, protease	AKINSANYA <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> , <i>B. pseudomycoloides</i> , <i>Paenibacillus senitriiformis</i>	L-asparaginase	JOSHI & KULKARNI 2016
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	L-asparaginase	EBRAHIMINEZHAD <i>et al.</i> 2011
<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i>	Phytase	IDRISS <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Burkholderia</i> sp., <i>Caulobacter</i> sp., <i>Chitinophaga</i> sp., <i>Curtobacterium</i> sp., <i>Kosakonia</i> sp., <i>Massilia</i> sp., <i>Methylobacterium</i> sp., <i>Microbacterium</i> sp., <i>Mucilaginibacter</i> sp., <i>Pseudorhodospira</i> sp., <i>Pantoea</i> sp., <i>Rhizobium</i> sp., <i>Sphingomonas</i> sp.	ACC deaminase, endoglucanase, protease	CHIMWAMUROMBE <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp., <i>Bacillus</i> sp.	ACC deaminase, amylase, cellulase, pectinase, protease	JOE <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Curtobacterium</i> MBR2.20, <i>Erwinia</i> sp. MBA2.19	Amylases, cellulases, endoglucanase, esterase, lipases, proteases	CASTRO <i>et al.</i> 2014
<i>Bacillus safensis</i>	Amylases, cellulases, lipases, proteases	KHIANNAM <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> strain 65	Chitinase	PLEBAN <i>et al.</i> 1997
<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp., <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> , <i>B. megaterium</i> , <i>B. pumilus</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Micrococcus luteus</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> sp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.	Amylase, cellulase, esterase, lipase, pectinase Protease, xylanase	EL-DEEB <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> , <i>B. licheniformis</i> , <i>B. weihenstephanensis</i>	Amylase, cellulase, lipase, protease.	AMARESAN <i>et al.</i> 2012



B and C), have been isolated from the endophytic bacterium *Pseudomonas viridiflava* (MILLER *et al.* 1998). The molecular masses of Ecomycin B and C are 1153 and 1181, respectively, i.e., different from the molecular masses of other pseudomonad lipopeptide antimycotics (BALLIO *et al.* 1994). The ecomycins have significant bioactivities against a wide range of human-pathogenic fungi such as *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Candida albicans* and plant-pathogenic fungi like *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, which are some of the most destructive and widespread of crop pathogens (MILLER *et al.* 1998). Four endophytic isolates, viz., *Pseudomonas entomophila*, *Bacillus tequilensis*, *Pseudomonas hibiscicola* and *Chryseobacterium indologenes*, which were isolated from *Aloe barbadensis* Miller (Asphodelaceae), possess a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities against pathogens like *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Escherichia coli* (AKINSANYA *et al.* 2015). Xiamycin, a pentacyclic indolosesquiterpene showing anti-HIV activity, and its methyl ester-derivatives of *Streptomyces* sp. (GT2002/1503), an endophytic bacterium isolated from the mangrove *Bruguiera gymnorhiza* (L.) Lam. (Rhiyophoraceae)—are novel indolosesquiterpenes isolated from prokaryotes (DING *et al.* 2010). *Bacillus pumilus* isolated from the South American woody shrub cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz., Euphorbiaceae) produced the lipopeptide “pumilacidin”, an antifungal compound which showed strong inhibitory activity against the plant-pathogenic fungi *Sclerotium rolfsii*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Pythium aphanidermatum* (MELO *et al.* 2009). Endophytic bacteria isolated from *Andrographis paniculata* Nees (Acanthaceae) showed a broad spectrum of activity against clinical pathogens and also against pathogens of fish (ARUNACHALAM & GAYATHRI 2010).

The endophytic bacterial isolate *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* isolated from *Curcuma zanthorrhiza* Roxb. (Zingiberaceae) and *Syzygium polycephalum* (Miq.) Merr. & L.M. Perry (Myrtaceae) has been found to block the growth of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), (INDRAWATI *et al.* 2018a, b). The antimicrobial activities reported from endophytic bacteria are summarised in Table 1. To sum up, the endophytic bacteria have an enormous potential for bioprospecting, and they could serve as one of the potential sources of new antibiotics in the future. Therefore, the current scenario warrants extensive research to explore endophytic bacteria that are untapped, unused and ignored. Effective cross-talk between molecular biologists, chemists, ethnobotanists, pharmacists, taxonomists, toxicologists and microbiologists is essential for exploring endophytic bacteria in the search for new antibiotics.

## ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA AS BIOCONTROL AGENTS

Biocontrol can be defined simply as employing one living organism to control another. This process is also referred to as biological control. Biological control is described as the use of beneficial organisms, their genes and/or products, such as metabolites, to minimise the adverse effects of plant pathogens and promote positive plant responses (TRANIER *et al.* 2014). Biological application is mainly launched to reduce a pest's population and produce yields that are pest-free. For the control of invasive plants, it is a long-term treatment and self-sustaining method. The living organism employed in this process is used to prevent the outbreak of weeds and to manage pests, including mosquitoes, bacteria and grazing animals. Endophytic bacteria can reduce or prevent certain pathogenic organisms from having adverse effects. They appear to have significant effects on their plant host via mechanisms similar to those defined for bacteria associated with the rhizosphere (FRANKS *et al.* 2008).

Certain endophytic bacteria are thought to cause a phenomenon known as induced systemic resistance (ISR), which is phenotypically identical to systemic acquired resistance (SAR). Systemic acquired resistance develops when plants effectively initiate their defence mechanism in reaction to a pathogen's primary infection, particularly when a pathogen induces a hypersensitive reaction through which it is limited in a local necrotic lesion of brown desiccated tissue. Induced systemic resistance is effective against various types of pathogens but is different from SAR because the triggering bacterium does not cause noticeable symptoms in the plant host (VAN LOON *et al.* 1998). KLOEPPER & RYU (2006) analysed endophytic bacteria and their role in ISR (induced systemic resistance). According to their study, selective strains of non-pathogenic endophytic bacteria can induce ISR in plants, resulting in the frequency of various diseases being reduced. In many cases, elicitation of ISR in plants by endophytic *Bacillus* sp. is associated with enhanced plant growth, and further investigation should be conducted in order to clarify the connection between growth promotion and ISR. It has just been unravelled how endophytic bacteria or their determinants account for ISR elicitation, and more work is required to explain why one isolate of a provided bacterial species can elicit ISR, whereas another isolate of the same species cannot.

Vascular wilts are disastrous plant diseases that can impact both woody annual and perennial crops, leading to major food loss and damaging precious natural ecosystems. This could be prevented by endophytic bacteria by modulating various possible disease suppression mechanisms to boost agricultural productivity (ELJOUNAIDI *et al.* 2016). Two unknown isolates of endophytic bacteria

(marked as Ps1, Ps8) from healthy tomato plants were shown to inhibit the tomato pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*, which causes wilt disease *in vitro* over up to 4-7 mm and *in vivo* significantly suppresses wilt disease by up to 8.07- 9.19% with an incubation period of 15-16 days (PURNAWATI 2014). It has also been shown that endophytic *Bacillus* sp. isolated from annual crops acts as a potential agent for biocontrol of fungal cacao disease black pod rot of cacao (MELNICK *et al.* 2008). Similarly, the endophytic bacterium *Rhodococcus* sp. (KB6 strain) isolated from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. (Brassicaceae) minimised signs of black rot disease in leaves of sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam., Convolvulaceae], which is caused by the fungal pathogen *Ceratocystis fimbriata* (HONG *et al.* 2016).

The endophytic bacteria *Burkholderia* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. isolated from soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr., Fabaceae] have been the most active isolates in treating fungal and bacterial pathogens of soybean *in vitro*. Both these bacterial isolates can shield soybean in such a way as to strengthen a sustainable crop management system (DE ALMEIDA LOPES *et al.* 2018). Endophytic bacterial isolates of PGPR (plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria), viz., *Bacillus cereus* (RBac-DOB-S24) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (BacDOB-E19), isolated from rhizomes of *Curcuma longa* L. (Zingiberaceae) have been shown to act as biocontrol agents capable of suppressing leaf blight and rhizome rot diseases in the same species (VINAYARANI & PRAKASH 2018).

Endophytes are appealing as a source of chemically produced pesticides because they provide options for plant disease management that contribute to sustainable farming. The Bt toxin synthesized by *Bacillus thuringiensis* is presently one of the most effective, bioinsecticides available on the market (JEONG *et al.* 2016). Endophytic isolates of *Serratia marcescens* and *Escherichia coli* bacterial strains from *Pinus* species (namely *Pinus koraiensis* Siebold & Zucc, *Pinus densiflora* Siebold & Zucc, *Pinus thunbergii* Parl. and *Pinus rigida* Mill., Pinaceae) have been shown to possess important nematicidal activity against the pinewood nematode [*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Steiner & Buhrer) Nickle, 1970, Parasitaphelenchidae] and can be used effectively as agents for the biocontrol of that nematode (LIU *et al.* 2019). Although continuing efforts to screen hypothetical endophytes are routinely carried out via *in vitro* experiments under standardised conditions, field experiments are required in various environmental situations in order to develop commercially successful biocontrol agents. For the commercialisation of biocontrol agents, further research is essential, as this will significantly reduce environmental and economic costs. Future work could be combined with screening, processing of biomass and measuring of antagonistic capacity in greenhouse and field trials, followed by the marketing of biocontrol agents that are essential to ensure global agricultural security.

## ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA AS A SOURCE OF ENZYMES

In different areas such as agriculture, industry and human health, microbial enzymes play a significant role. Endophytic bacteria enter plants through natural openings or injuries with the aid of hydrolytic enzymes such as cellulases and pectinases that enable them to actively penetrate plant tissues. As pathogens also produce these enzymes, more understanding is required to differentiate endophytic bacteria from plant pathogens for their regulation and expression. Limited research has been done on the isolation of endophytic bacteria from indigenous plants and their enzyme production potential (JOSHI *et al.* 2018).

Endophytic bacteria are considered to be an important source of extracellular enzymes (KHAN *et al.* 2017). They have been documented to produce enzymes like protease, amylase, cellulases, pectinase, phytase, esterase, ACC deaminase, lipase, asparaginase, protease and others (CARRIM *et al.* 2006; JOE *et al.* 2016; VIJAYALAKSHMI *et al.* 2016). It has been shown that the endophytic bacterium *Lactobacillus fermentum* isolated from *Vinca rosea* L. (Apocynaceae) exhibits high proteolytic activity superior to that of the protease of non-endophytic bacteria (JALGAONWALA & MAHAJAN 2011). Some work has already focused on this area of research, and endophytic bacteria producing enzymes of industrial importance are summarised in Table 2. Recently, more attention has been paid to endophytic bacteria, but they remain unexplored and neglected. To date, their capability as producers of enzymes has not been thoroughly studied. At this stage, the question of whether such organisms will be used in the coming years as effective industrial enzyme producers cannot be addressed. Modern and much more detailed research with the aid of well-established methods is required to promote development in the area of enzymes. The huge potential of endophytic bacteria as an industrial source of biocatalysts and characterisation of the chemical and physical properties of such enzymes will be more thoroughly explored in the years to come.

## CONCLUSION

Endophytic bacteria contribute to plant adaptation in several habitats and are of considerable ecological significance, since they enhance soil quality and fertility as judged by plant development. Research on the metabolic influence of endophytic bacteria in plant tissues acquaints us with the various biochemical and physiological changes caused by interactions between endophytes and plants. These microorganisms have no bearing on the nutrient rivalry typically found in the rhizosphere and are more significant for reducing the harm brought about by numerous phytopathogens.

Isolation of endophytic bacteria and techniques used to identify them are vital for improving agricultural practices, since many species enhance growth of the plant, promote better nutrient uptake and absorption and induce tolerance of environmental stress, thus representing valuable biological resources that can have a positive effect on agricultural production. Compared to those treating endophytic fungi, studies on endophytic bacteria are generally limited. The appearance of new studies reporting the ability of investigators to isolate beneficial and novel bioactive compounds from endophytic bacteria is therefore significantly much higher. Endophyte research does not rely entirely on microbiologists, but rather involves a network of researchers from multidisciplinary areas such as chemistry, pharmacology, molecular biology, taxonomy and bioinformatics. The focus on existing isolated compounds could be expanded to include topics such as their use for treatment of cancer and other diseases, as well as the problem of emergence of multidrug-resistant pathogens.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- ADHIKARI TB, JOSEPH C, YANG G, PHILLIPS DA & NELSON LM. 2001. Evaluation of bacteria isolated from rice for plant growth promotion and biological control of seedling disease of rice. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **47**: 916–924.
- AHMED M, HUSSAIN M, DHAR MK & KAUL S. 2012. Isolation of microbial endophytes from some ethnomedicinal plants of Jammu and Kashmir. *Journal of Natural Product and Plant Resources* **2**: 215–220.
- AKINSANYA MA, GOH JK, LIM SP & TING ASY. 2015. Diversity, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of culturable bacterial endophyte communities in *Aloe vera*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **362**: 1–8.
- AKINSANYA MA, TING A, GOH JK & LIM SP. 2016. Biodiversity, enzymatic and antimicrobial activities of bacterial endophytes in selected local medicinal plants. *Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Research* **5**: 76–88.
- ALI S, CHARLES T & GLICK B. 2012. Delay of flower senescence by bacterial endophytes expressing 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **113**: 1139–1144.
- ALJURAIFANI A, ALDOSARY S & ABABUTAIN I. 2019. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of endophytes isolated from *Moringa peregrina* growing in eastern region of Saudi Arabia. *National Academy Science Letters* **42**: 75–80.
- AMARESAN N, JAYAKUMAR V, KUMAR K & THAJUDDIN N. 2012. Endophytic bacteria from tomato and chilli, their diversity and antagonistic potential against *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* **45**: 344–355.
- AMBROSE C, VARGHESE C & SUBHASH JB. 2013. Endophytic bacteria as a source of novel antibiotics: an overview. *Pharmacognosy Reviews* **7**: 11–16.
- ANJUM N & CHANDRA R. 2015. Endophytic bacteria: optimization of isolation procedure from various medicinal plants and their preliminary characterization. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research* **8**: 233–238.
- ARAÚJO WL, MACCHERONI JR W, AGUILAR-VILDOSO CI, BARROSO PA, SARIDAKIS HO & AZEVEDO JL. 2001. Variability and interactions between endophytic bacteria and fungi isolated from leaf tissues of citrus rootstocks. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **47**: 229–236.
- ARAVIND R, KUMAR A, EAPEN S & RAMANA K. 2009. Endophytic bacterial flora in root and stem tissues of black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) genotype: isolation, identification and evaluation against *Phytophthora capsici*. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* **48**: 58–64.
- ARORA NK. 2015. *Plant microbes symbiosis: applied facets*. Springer, New Delhi.
- ARUNACHALAM C & GAYATHRI P. 2010. Studies on bioprospecting of endophytic bacteria from the medicinal plant of *Andrographis paniculata* for their antimicrobial activity and antibiotic susceptibility pattern. *International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research* **2**: 63–68.
- AZIZ SA, MUNIF A, SOPANDIE D & BERMAWIE N. 2013. Isolation and selection of endophytic bacteria consortia from medicinal plant (*Andrographis paniculata*) as plant growth promoting agents. *Journal of Agronomy* **12**: 113–121.
- BALLIO A, BOSSA F, DI GIORGIO D, FERRANTI P, PACI M, PUCCI P, SCALONI A, SEGRE A & STROBEL G. 1994. Novel bioactive lipodepsipeptides from *Pseudomonas syringae*: the pseudomycins. *FEBS Letters* **355**: 96–100.
- BATES ST, CROSEY GW, CAPORASO JG, KNIGHT R & FIERER N. 2011. Bacterial communities associated with the lichen symbiosis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **77**: 1309–1314.
- BEIRANVAND M, AMIN M, HASHEMI-SHAHRAKI A, ROMANI B, YAGHOUBI S & SADEGHI P. 2017. Antimicrobial activity of endophytic bacterial populations isolated from medical plants of Iran. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology* **9**: 11–18.
- BELL C, DICKIE G, HARVEY W & CHAN J. 1995. Endophytic bacteria in grapevine. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **41**: 46–53.
- BENEDUZI A, AMBROSINI A & PASSAGLIA LM. 2012. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): their potential as antagonists and biocontrol agents. *Genetics and Molecular Biology* **35**: 1044–1051.

- BENEDUZI A, MOREIRA F, COSTA PB, VARGAS LK, LISBOA BB, FAVRETO R, BALDANI JI & PASSAGLIA LMP. 2013. Diversity and plant growth promoting evaluation abilities of bacteria isolated from sugarcane cultivated in the South of Brazil. *Applied Soil Ecology* **63**: 94–104.
- BHAGAT J, KAUR A & CHADHA BS. 2016. Single step purification of asparaginase from endophytic bacteria *Pseudomonas oryzae* exhibiting high potential to reduce acrylamide in processed potato chips. *Food and Bioprocess Technology* **99**: 222–230.
- BORAH A, DAS R, MAZUMDAR R & THAKUR D. 2019. Culturable endophytic bacteria of *Camellia* species endowed with plant growth promoting characteristics. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **127**: 825–844.
- BRADER G, COMPANT S, MITTER B, TROGNITZ F & SESSITSCH A. 2014. Metabolic potential of endophytic bacteria. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **27**: 30–37.
- CARRIM AJI, BARBOSA EC & VIEIRA JDG. 2006. Enzymatic activity of endophytic bacterial isolates of *Jacaranda decurrens* Cham. (Carobinha-do-campo). *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* **49**: 353–359.
- CARROLL G. 1991. Fungal associates of woody plants as insect antagonists in leaves and stems. In: BARBOSA P, KRISCHIK VA & JONES CG (eds.), *Microbial mediation of plant-herbivore interactions*, pp. 253–271, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- CASTRO RA, QUECINE MC, LACAVA PT, BATISTA BD, LUVIZOTTO DM, MARCON J, FERREIRA A, MELO IS & AZEVEDO JL. 2014. Isolation and enzyme bioprospection of endophytic bacteria associated with plants of Brazilian mangrove ecosystem. *SpringerPlus* **3**: 382–382.
- CHARENTREUIL C, GIRAUD E, PRIN Y, LORQUIN J, BÂ A, GILLIS M, DE LAJUDIE P & DREYFUS B. 2000. Photosynthetic bradyrhizobia are natural endophytes of the African wild rice *Oryza breviligulata*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **66**: 5437–5447.
- CHEN Y-T, YUAN Q, SHAN L-T, LIN M-A, CHENG D-Q & LI C-Y. 2013. Antitumor activity of bacterial exopolysaccharides from the endophyte *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* sp. isolated from *Ophiopogon japonicus*. *Oncology letters* **5**: 1787–1792.
- CHIMWAMUROMBE PM, GRÖNEMEYER JL & REINHOLD-HUREK B. 2016. Isolation and characterization of culturable seed-associated bacterial endophytes from gnotobiotically grown Maramba bean seedlings. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **92**: fiw083.
- CHO SJ, PARK SR, KIM MK, LIM WJ, RYU SK, AN CL, HONG SY, LEE YH, JEONG SG & CHO YU. 2002. Endophytic *Bacillus* sp. isolated from the interior of balloon flower root. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry* **66**: 1270–1275.
- COLOMBO PM. 1978. Occurrence of endophytic bacteria in siphonous algae. *Phycologia* **17**: 148–151.
- COMPANT S, CLÉMENT C & SESSITSCH A. 2010. Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo- and endosphere of plants: their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **42**: 669–678.
- COSTA LEO, QUEIROZ MV, BORGES AC, MORAES CA & ARAÚJO EF. 2012. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria isolated from the leaves of the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **43**: 1562–1575.
- DAS G, PARK S & BAEK K-H. 2017a. Diversity of endophytic bacteria in a fern species *Dryopteris uniformis* (Makino) Makino and evaluation of their antibacterial potential against five foodborne pathogenic bacteria. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease* **14**: 260–268.
- DAS I, PANDA M, RATH C & TAYUNG K. 2017b. Bioactivities of bacterial endophytes isolated from leaf tissues of *Hyptis suaveolens* against some clinically significant pathogens. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science* **7**: 131–136.
- DE ALMEIDA LOPES KB, CARPENTIERI-PIPOLO V, FIRA D, BALATTI PA, LÓPEZ SMY, ORO TH, STEFANI PAGLIOSA E & DEGRASSI G. 2018. Screening of bacterial endophytes as potential biocontrol agents against soybean diseases. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **125**: 1466–1481.
- DE BARY A. 1866. *Morphology and physiology of fungi, lichens and myxomycetes*. Engelmann.
- DE SOUZA R, SCHOENFELD R & PASSAGLIA LM. 2016. Bacterial inoculants for rice: effects on nutrient uptake and growth promotion. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* **62**: 561–569.
- DE ZÉLICOURT A, SYNEK L, SAAD MM, ALZUBAIDY H, JALAL R, XIE Y, ANDRÉS-BARRAO C, ROLLI E, GUERARD F & MARIAPPAN KG. 2018. Ethylene induced plant stress tolerance by *Enterobacter* sp. SA187 is mediated by 2-keto-4-methylthiobutyric acid production. *PLoS Genetics* **14**: e1007273.
- DING L, MÜNCH J, GOERLS H, MAIER A, FIEBIG H-H, LIN W-H & HERTWECK C. 2010. Xiamycin, a pentacyclic indolosesquiterpene with selective anti-HIV activity from a bacterial mangrove endophyte. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* **20**: 6685–6687.
- DONG Z, CANNY MJ, MCCULLY ME, ROBOREDO MR, CABADILLA CF, ORTEGA E & RODES R. 1994. A nitrogen-fixing endophyte of sugarcane stems (a new role for the apoplast). *Plant Physiology* **105**: 1139–1147.
- DOTY SL, DOSHER MR, SINGLETON GL, MOORE AL, VAN AKEN B, STETTLER RF, STRAND SE & GORDON MP. 2005. Identification of an endophytic *Rhizobium* in stems of *Populus*. *Symbiosis* **39**: 27–35.
- DUDEJA SS & GIRI R. 2014. Beneficial properties, colonization, establishment and molecular diversity

- of endophytic bacteria in legumes and non legumes. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* **8**: 1562–1572.
- EBRAHIMINEZHAD A, RASOUL-AMINI S & GHASEMI Y. 2011. l-Asparaginase production by moderate halophilic bacteria isolated from Maharloo Salt Lake. *Indian Journal of Microbiology* **51**: 307–311.
- EGAMBERDIEVA D, WIRTH SJ, ALQARAWI AA, ABD\_ ALLAH EF & HASHEM A. 2017. Phytohormones and beneficial microbes: essential components for plants to balance stress and fitness. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **8**: 2104.
- EL-DEEB B, FAYEZ K & GHERBAWY Y. 2013. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from *Plectranthus tenuiflorus* medicinal plant in Saudi Arabia desert and their antimicrobial activities. *Journal of Plant Interactions* **8**: 56–64.
- ELJOUNAIDI K, LEE SK & BAE H. 2016. Bacterial endophytes as potential biocontrol agents of vascular wilt diseases—review and future prospects. *Biological Control* **103**: 62–68.
- ENGELHARD M, HUREK T & REINHOLD-HUREK B. 2000. Preferential occurrence of diazotrophic endophytes, *Azoarcus* spp., in wild rice species and land races of *Oryza sativa* in comparison with modern races. *Environmental Microbiology* **2**: 131–141.
- ETMINANI F & HARIGHI B. 2018. Isolation and identification of endophytic bacteria with plant growth promoting activity and biocontrol potential from wild pistachio trees. *The Plant Pathology Journal* **34**: 208.
- EZRA D, CASTILLO UF, STROBEL GA, HESS WM, PORTER H, JENSEN JB, CONDRON MA, TEPLow DB, SEARS J & MARANTA M. 2004. Coronamycins, peptide antibiotics produced by a verticillate *Streptomyces* sp.(MSU-2110) endophytic on *Monstera* sp. *Microbiology* **150**: 785–793.
- FAO. 2017. *The future of food and agriculture. Trends and challenges*. Rome.
- FERREIRA A, QUECINE MC, LACAVA PT, ODA S, AZEVEDO JL & ARAÚJO WL. 2008. Diversity of endophytic bacteria from *Eucalyptus* species seeds and colonization of seedlings by *Pantoea agglomerans*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **287**: 8–14.
- FRANKS A, RYAN RP, RYAN DJ, GERMAINE K & DOWLING DN. 2008. Bacterial endophytes: recent developments and applications. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **278**: 1–9.
- GAGNÉ S, RICHARD C, ROUSSEAU H & ANTOUN H. 1987. Xylem-residing bacteria in alfalfa roots. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **33**: 996–1000.
- GAIERO JR, MCCALL CA, THOMPSON KA, DAY NJ, BEST AS & DUNFIELD KE. 2013. Inside the root microbiome: bacterial root endophytes and plant growth promotion. *American Journal of Botany* **100**: 1738–1750.
- GARCÍAS-BONET N, ARRIETA JM, DE SANTANA CN, DUARTE CM & MARBÀ N. 2012. Endophytic bacterial community of a Mediterranean marine angiosperm (*Posidonia oceanica*). *Frontiers in Microbiology* **3**: 342.
- GORYLUK A, REKOSZ-BURLAGA H & BLASZCZYK M. 2009. Isolation and characterization of bacterial endophytes of *Chelidonium majus* L. *Polish Journal of Microbiology* **58**: 355–361.
- GUNATILAKA AL. 2006. Natural products from plant-associated microorganisms: distribution, structural diversity, bioactivity, and implications of their occurrence. *Journal of Natural Products* **69**: 509–526.
- GUPTA V, TRIVEDI N, KUMAR M, REDDY C & JHA B. 2013. Purification and characterization of exo- $\beta$ -agarase from an endophytic marine bacterium and its catalytic potential in bioconversion of red algal cell wall polysaccharides into galactans. *Biomass and Bioenergy* **49**: 290–298.
- HALLMANN J, QUADT-HALLMANN A, MAHAFFEE W & KLOEPPER J. 1997. Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **43**: 895–914.
- HARDOIM PR, VAN OVERBEEK LS, BERG G, PIRTTILÄ AM, COMPANT S, CAMPISANO A, DÖRING M & SESSITSCH A. 2015. The hidden world within plants: ecological and evolutionary considerations for defining functioning of microbial endophytes. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **79**: 293–320.
- HASSAN SE-D. 2017. Plant growth-promoting activities for bacterial and fungal endophytes isolated from medicinal plant of *Teucrium polium* L. *Journal of Advanced Research* **8**: 687–695.
- HOLLANTS J, LEROUX O, LELIAERT F, DECLEYRE H, DE CLERCK O & WILLEMS A. 2011. Who is in there? Exploration of endophytic bacteria within the siphonous green seaweed *Bryopsis* (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta). *PLoS One* **6**: e26458.
- HONG CE, JEONG H, JO SH, JEONG JC, KWON SY, AN D & PARK JM. 2016. A leaf-inhabiting endophytic bacterium, *Rhodococcus* sp. KB6, enhances sweet potato resistance to black rot disease caused by *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. *Journal of Microbiol Biotechnology* **26**: 488–492.
- HONG-THAO PT, MAI-LINH NV, HONG-LIEN NT & VAN HIEU N. 2016. Biological characteristics and antimicrobial activity of endophytic *Streptomyces* sp. TQR12-4 isolated from elite *Citrus nobilis* cultivar Ham Yen of Vietnam. *International Journal of Microbiology* **2016**.
- IDRISS EE, MAKAREWICZ O, FAROUK A, ROSNER K, GREINER R, BOCHOW H, RICHTER T & BORRIS R. 2002. Extracellular phytase activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB45 contributes to its plant-growth-promoting effects. *Microbiology* **148**: 2097–2109.



- IKEDA S, OKUBO T, ANDA M, NAKASHITA H, YASUDA M, SATO S, KANEKO T, TABATA S, EDA S & MOMIYAMA A. 2010. Community- and genome-based views of plant-associated bacteria: plant-bacterial interactions in soybean and rice. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **51**: 1398–1410.
- INDRAWATI I, ROSSIANA N & DIRESNA D. 2018a. Bioprospecting of bacterial endophytes from *Curcuma aeruginosa*, *Curcuma xanthorrhiza* and *Curcuma zedoaria* as antibacterial against pathogenic bacteria. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* **197**: 012009
- INDRAWATI I, ROSSIANA N & HIDAYAT T. 2018b. Antibacterial activity of bacterial endophytes from kupa plant (*Syzygium Polyccephalum* Miq. (Merr & Perry) against pathogenic bacteria. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* **166**: 012013
- ISLAM N, CHOI J & BAEK K-H. 2018. Antibacterial activities of endophytic bacteria isolated from *Taxus brevifolia* against foodborne pathogenic bacteria. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease* **15**: 269–276.
- JALGAONWALA R & MAHAJAN R. 2011. Evaluation of hydrolytic enzyme activities of endophytes from some indigenous medicinal plants. *Journal of Agricultural Technology* **7**: 1733–1741.
- JASIM B, JOSEPH AA, JOHN CJ, MATHEW J & RADHAKRISHNAN E. 2014. Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting endophytic bacteria from the rhizome of *Zingiber officinale*. *3 Biotech* **4**: 197–204.
- JEONG H, JO SH, HONG CE & PARK JM. 2016. Genome sequence of the endophytic bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain KBI, a potential biocontrol agent against phytopathogens. *Genome Announcements* **4**: e00279–00216.
- JOE MM, DEVARAJ S, BENSON A & SA T. 2016. Isolation of phosphate solubilizing endophytic bacteria from *Phyllanthus amarus* Schum & Thonn: Evaluation of plant growth promotion and antioxidant activity under salt stress. *Journal of Applied Research on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants* **3**: 71–77.
- JOSHI RD & KULKARNI NS. 2016. Optimization studies on L-asparaginase production from endophytic bacteria. *International Journal of Applied Research* **2**: 624–629.
- JOSHI S, SINGH AV & PRASAD B. 2018. Enzymatic activity and plant growth promoting potential of endophytic bacteria isolated from *Ocimum sanctum* and *Aloe vera*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **7**: 2314–2326.
- KANDEL SL, FIRRINCIELI A, JOUBERT PM, OKUBARA PA, LESTON ND, MCGEORGE KM, MUGNOZZA GS, HARFOUCHE A, KIM S-H & DOTY SL. 2017. An *in vitro* study of bio-control and plant growth promotion potential of Salicaceae endophytes. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **8**: 386.
- KANNAN R, DAMODARAN T & UMA MAHESWARI S. 2015. Sodicinity tolerant polyembryonic mango root stock plants: a putative role of endophytic bacteria. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **14**: 350–359.
- KHAN AL, SHAHZAD R, AL-HARRASI A & LEE I-J. 2017. Endophytic microbes: a resource for producing extracellular enzymes. In: MAHESHWARI D & ANNAPURNA K (eds.), *Endophytes: crop productivity and protection. Sustainable development and biodiversity*, vol **16**, pp. 95–110, Springer, Cham.
- KHIANGGAM S, TECHAKRIENGKRAI T, RAKSASIRI B, KANJANAMANEESATHIAN M & TANASUPAWAT S. 2013. 8-3 Isolation and screening of endophytic bacteria for hydrolytic enzymes from plant in mangrove forest at Pranburi, Prachuap Khiri Khan, Thailand. In: SCHNEIDER C, LEIFERT C & FELDMANN F (eds.), *Endophytes for plant protection: the state of the art*, pp. 279–284, Deutsche Phytomedizinische Gesellschaft, Braunschweig.
- KLOEPPER JW & RYU C-M. 2006. Bacterial endophytes as elicitors of induced systemic resistance. In: SCHULZ BJE, BOYLE CJC & SIEBER TN (eds.), *Microbial root endophytes. Soil biology*, vol **9**, pp. 33–52, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- KUKLINSKY-SOBRAL J, ARAÚJO WL, MENDES R, GERALDI IO, PIZZIRANI-KLEINER AA & AZEVEDO JL. 2004. Isolation and characterization of soybean-associated bacteria and their potential for plant growth promotion. *Environmental Microbiology* **6**: 1244–1251.
- LATA R, CHOWDHURY S, GOND SK & WHITE JR JF. 2018. Induction of abiotic stress tolerance in plants by endophytic microbes. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* **66**: 268–276.
- LEO VV, PASSARI AK, JOSHI JB, MISHRA VK, UTHANDI S, RAMESH N, GUPTA VK, SAIKIA R, SONAWANE VC & SINGH BP. 2016. A novel triculture system (CC3) for simultaneous enzyme production and hydrolysis of common grasses through submerged fermentation. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7**: 447.
- LI J, WANG Z, XIE P, WU D & YIN Y. 2012a. Endophytic bacterial community analysis of *Catharanthus roseus* and its association with huanglongbing pathogen. *Acta Microbiologica Sinica* **52**: 489–497.
- LI J, ZHAO G-Z, HUANG H-Y, QIN S, ZHU W-Y, ZHAO L-X, XU L-H, ZHANG S, LI W-J & STROBEL G. 2012b. Isolation and characterization of culturable endophytic actinobacteria associated with *Artemisia annua* L. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **101**: 515–527.
- LIU H, CARVALHAIS LC, CRAWFORD M, SINGH E, DENNIS PG, PIETERSE CM & SCHENK PM. 2017. Inner plant values: Diversity, colonization and benefits from endophytic bacteria. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **8**: 2552.
- LIU XL, LIU SL, LIU M, KONG BH, LIU L & LI YH. 2014. A primary assessment of the endophytic

- bacterial community in a xerophilous moss (*Grimmia montana*) using molecular method and cultivated isolates. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **45**: 165–173.
- LIU Y, LIU W & LIANG Z. 2015. Endophytic bacteria from *Pinellia ternata*, a new source of purine alkaloids and bacterial manure. *Pharmaceutical Biology* **53**: 1545–1548.
- LIU Y, PONPANDIAN LN, KIM H, JEON J, HWANG BS, LEE SK, PARK S-C & BAE H. 2019. Distribution and diversity of bacterial endophytes from four *Pinus* species and their efficacy as biocontrol agents for devastating pine wood nematodes. *Scientific Reports* **9**: 12461.
- LÓPEZ JL, ALVAREZ F, PRINCIPE A, SALAS ME, LOZANO MJ, DRAGHI WO, JOFRÉ E & LAGARES A. 2018. Isolation, taxonomic analysis, and phenotypic characterization of bacterial endophytes present in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) seeds. *Journal of Biotechnology* **267**: 55–62.
- LUMACTUD R, SHEN SY, LAU M & FULTHORPE R. 2016. Bacterial endophytes isolated from plants in natural oil seep soils with chronic hydrocarbon contamination. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7**: 755.
- MAHLANGU SG & SEREPA-DLAMINI MH. 2018. First report of bacterial endophytes from the leaves of *Pellaea calomelanos* in South Africa. *South African Journal of Science* **114**: 1–9.
- MARCHUT-MIKOLAJCZYK O, DROŹDŹYŃSKI P, PIETRZYK D & ANTCZAK T. 2018. Biosurfactant production and hydrocarbon degradation activity of endophytic bacteria isolated from *Chelidonium majus* L. *Microbial Cell Factories* **17**: 171.
- MARHAENI B, RADJASA OK, BENGEN DG & KASWADJI RF. 2011. Screening of bacterial symbionts of seagrass *Enhalus* sp. against biofilm-forming bacteria. *Journal of Coastal Development* **13**: 126–132.
- MARTÍNEZ L, CABALLERO-MELLADO J, OROZCO J & MARTÍNEZ-ROMERO E. 2003. Diazotrophic bacteria associated with banana (*Musa* spp.). *Plant and Soil* **257**: 35–47.
- MELNICK RL, ZIDACK NK, BAILEY BA, MAXIMOVA SN, GUILTINAN M & BACKMAN PA. 2008. Bacterial endophytes: *Bacillus* spp. from annual crops as potential biological control agents of black pod rot of cacao. *Biological Control* **46**: 46–56.
- MELO FMP, FIORE MF, MORAES LAB, SILVA-STENICO ME, SCRAMIN S, TEIXEIRA MA & MELO IS. 2009. Antifungal compound produced by the cassava endophyte *Bacillus pumilus* MAIIM4A. *Scientia Agricola* **66**: 583–592.
- MENDES R & AZEVEDO J. 2007. Biotechnological value of endophytic fungi isolated from plants of economic interest. In: MAIA LC, MALOSSO E & YANO-MELO AM (eds.), *Mycology: advances in knowledge* pp. 129–140, University of UFPE, Recife.
- MILIUTE I, BUZAITE O, BANIULIS D & STANYS V. 2015. Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops and their role in stress tolerance: a review. *Zemdirbyste-Agriculture* **102**: 465–478.
- MILLER C, MILLER R, GARTON-KENNY D, REDGRAVE B, SEARS J, CONDRON M, TEPLow D & STROBEL G. 1998. Ecomycins, unique antimycotics from *Pseudomonas viridiflava*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **84**: 937–944.
- MOHAMAD OAA, LI L, MA J-B, HATAB S, XU L, GUO J-W, RASULOV BA, LIU Y-H, HEDLUND BP & LI W-J. 2018. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of endophytic bacterial populations from Chinese traditional medicinal plant licorice and characterization of the bioactive secondary metabolites produced by *Bacillus atrophaeus* against *Verticillium dahliae*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **9**: 924–924.
- MORONTA-BARRIOS F, GIONECHETTI F, PALLAVICINI A, MARYS E & VENTURI V. 2017. Rice bacterial endophytes; 16S-based taxonomic profiling, isolation and simplified endophytic community from two Venezuelan cultivars. *Microorganisms* **6**: 14.
- MUHSININ S, BUDIARTO RM & MULYANI LN. 2016. Isolation of endophytic bacteria from plant basil (*Ocimum sanctum* L.) as antibacterials against *staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Innovations in Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences* **3**: 92–96
- MUNDT JO & HINKLE NF. 1976. Bacteria within ovules and seeds. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **32**: 694–698.
- NGAMAU C, MATIRU V, TANI A & MUTHURI C. 2014. Potential use of endophytic bacteria as biofertilizer for sustainable banana (*Musa* spp.) production. *African Journal of Horticultural Science* **8**: 1–11.
- NONGKHLAW FM & JOSHI SR. 2015a. Investigation on the bioactivity of culturable endophytic and epiphytic bacteria associated with ethnomedicinal plants. *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries* **9**: 954–961.
- NONGKHLAW FM & JOSHI SR. 2015b. l-Asparaginase and antioxidant activity of endophytic bacteria associated with ethnomedicinal plants. *Indian Journal of Biotechnology* **14**: 59–64.
- OLIVARES F, JAMES E, BALDANI JI & DÖBEREINER J. 1997. Infection of mottled stripe disease-susceptible and resistant sugar cane varieties by the endophytic diazotroph *Herbaspirillum*. *The New Phytologist* **135**: 723–737.
- PALANICHAMY P, KRISHNAMOORTHY G, KANNAN S & MARUDHAMUTHU M. 2018. Bioactive potential of secondary metabolites derived from medicinal plant endophytes. *Egyptian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* **5**: 303–312.
- PANDEY PK, SINGH S, SINGH MC, SINGH AK, PANDEY P, PANDEY AK, PATHAK M, KUMAR M, SHAKYWAR



- RC & PATIDAR RK. 2017. Inside the plants: bacterial endophytes and their natural products. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6**: 33–41.
- PANDEY SS, SINGH S, PANDEY H, SRIVASTAVA M, RAY T, SONI S, PANDEY A, SHANKER K, BABU CV & BANERJEE S. 2018. Endophytes of *Withania somnifera* modulate in planta content and the site of withanolide biosynthesis. *Scientific Reports* **8**: 1–19.
- PEREIRA W, LEITE JM, HIPÓLITO GDS, DOS SANTOS CLR & REIS VM. 2013. Acúmulo de biomassa em variedades de cana-de-açúcar inoculadas com diferentes estirpes de bactérias diazotróficas. *Revista Ciência Agronômica* **44**: 363–370.
- PHAM VT, REDIERIS H, GHEQUIRE MG, NGUYEN HH, DE MOT R, VANDERLEYDEN J & SPAEPEN S. 2017. The plant growth-promoting effect of the nitrogen-fixing endophyte *Pseudomonas stutzeri* A15. *Archives of Microbiology* **199**: 513–517.
- PHILLIPS DA, MARTINEZ-ROMERO E, YANG G-P & JOSEPH CM. 2000. Release of nitrogen: a key trait in selecting bacterial endophytes for agronomically useful nitrogen fixation. In: LADHA JK & REDDY PM (eds.), *The quest for nitrogen fixation in rice*, pp. 205–217, International Rice Research Institute, Manila, The Philippines.
- PIETERSE CM, ZAMIOUDIS C, BERENDSEN RL, WELLER DM, VAN WEES SC & BAKKER PA. 2014. Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **52**: 347–375.
- PLEBAN S, CHERNIN L & CHET I. 1997. Chitinolytic activity of an endophytic strain of *Bacillus cereus*. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* **25**: 284–288.
- PLEBAN S, INGEL F & CHET I. 1995. Control of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* in the greenhouse using endophytic *Bacillus* spp. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **101**: 665–672.
- PREVEENA J & BHORE SJ. 2013. Identification of bacterial endophytes associated with traditional medicinal plant *Tridax procumbens* Linn. *Ancient Science of Life* **32**: 173–177.
- PURI A, PADDA KP & CHANWAY CP. 2017. Beneficial effects of bacterial endophytes on forest tree species. In: MAHESHWARI D & ANNAPURNA K (eds.), *Endophytes: crop productivity and protection*, pp. 111–132, Springer, Cham.
- PURNAWATI A. 2014. Endophytic bacteria as biocontrol agents of tomato bacterial wilt disease. *Journal of Tropical Life Science* **4**: 33–36.
- QIN S, LI J, CHEN H-H, ZHAO G-Z, ZHU W-Y, JIANG C-L, XU L-H & LI W-J. 2009. Isolation, diversity, and antimicrobial activity of rare actinobacteria from medicinal plants of tropical rain forests in Xishuangbanna, China. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **75**: 6176–6186.
- RAHMAN L, SHINWARI ZK, IQRAR I, RAHMAN L & TANVEER F. 2017. An assessment on the role of endophytic microbes in the therapeutic potential of *Fagonia indica*. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials* **16**: 1–12.
- RAMANUJ KB & SHELAT HN. 2018. Plant growth promoting potential of bacterial endophytes from medicinal plants. *Advances in Research* **26**: 1–5.
- RASHID S, CHARLES TC & GLICK BR. 2012. Isolation and characterization of new plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. *Applied Soil Ecology* **61**: 217–224.
- RIBEIRO VP, MARRIEL IE, SOUSA SM, LANA UGP, MATTOS BB, OLIVEIRA CA & GOMES EA. 2018. Endophytic *Bacillus* strains enhance pearl millet growth and nutrient uptake under low-P. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **49**: 40–46.
- ROSENBLUETH M, MARTÍNEZ L, SILVA J & MARTÍNEZ-ROMERO E. 2004. *Klebsiella variicola*, a novel species with clinical and plant-associated isolates. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* **27**: 27–35.
- ROY S, YASMIN S, GHOSH S, BHATTACHARYA S & BANERJEE D. 2016. Anti-infective metabolites of a newly isolated *Bacillus thuringiensis* KL1 associated with kalmegh (*Andrographis paniculata* Nees.), a traditional medicinal herb. *Microbiology Insights* **9**: MBI. S33394.
- RYAN RP, GERMAINE K, FRANKS A, RYAN DJ & DOWLING DN. 2008. Bacterial endophytes: recent developments and applications. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **278**: 1–9.
- SAKIYAMA C, PAULA E, PEREIRA P, BORGES A, SILVA D. 2001. Characterization of pectin lyase produced by an endophytic strain isolated from coffee cherries. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* **33**: 117–121.
- SANTOS ML, BERLITZ DL, WIEST SLF, SCHÜNEMANN R, KNAAK N & FIUZA LM. 2018. Benefits associated with the interaction of endophytic bacteria and plants. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* **61**: 1–11.
- SELIM HM, GOMAA NM & ESSA AM. 2017. Application of endophytic bacteria for the biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* (Cantharellales: Ceratobasidiaceae) damping-off disease in cotton seedlings. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **27**: 81–95.
- SEO WT, LIM WJ, KIM EJ, YUN HD, LEE YH & CHO KM. 2010. Endophytic bacterial diversity in the young radish and their antimicrobial activity against pathogens. *Journal of the Korean Society for Applied Biological Chemistry* **53**: 493–503.
- SESSITSCH A, HARDOIM P, DÖRING J, WEILHARTER A, KRAUSE A, WOYKE T, MITTER B, HAUBERG-LOTTE L, FRIEDRICH F & RAHALKAR M. 2012. Functional characteristics of an endophyte community colonizing rice roots as revealed by metagenomic analysis. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **25**: 28–36.
- SHARMA S & ROY S. 2015. Isolation and identification of a novel endophyte from a plant *Amaranthus spinosus*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **4**: 785–798.
- SHCHERBAKOV A, BRAGINA A, KUZ'MINA E, BERG K, MUNTIAN A, MAKAROVA N, MAL'FANOVA N,

- CARDINALE M, BERG G & TIKHONOVICH I. 2013. Bacterial endophytes from Sphagnum mosses as a promising objects for agricultural microbiology. *Mikrobiologija* **82**: 312–322.
- SHCHERBAKOV A, MULINA S, ROTS PY, SHCHERBAKOVA E & CHEBOTAR V. 2009. Bacterial endophytes of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) as promising tools in viticulture: isolation, characterization and detection in inoculated plants. *Agronomy Research* **14**: 1702–1712.
- SHEN SY & FULTHORPE R. 2015. Seasonal variation of bacterial endophytes in urban trees. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **6**: 1–13.
- SHUKLA S & WAHLA V. 2019. Influence of different sterilizing methods on isolation endophytic bacteria from *Rauvolfia serpentina*. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* **8**: 38–41.
- SILVA GIRIO LA, FERREIRA DIAS FL, REIS VM, URQUIAGA S, SCHULTZ N, BOLONHEZI D & MUTTON MA. 2015. Growth-promoting bacteria and nitrogen fertilization in the initial growth of sugarcane sugar from pre-sprouted seedlings. *Brazilian Agricultural Research* **50**: 33–43.
- SINGH M, KUMAR A, SINGH R & PANDEY KD. 2017. Endophytic bacteria: a new source of bioactive compounds. *3 Biotech* **7**: 315.
- SOUJANYA K, SIVA R, KUMARA PM, SRIMANY A, RAVIKANTH G, MULANI F, AARTHY T, THULASIRAM H, SANTHOSHKUMAR T & NATARAJA KN. 2017. Camptothecin-producing endophytic bacteria from *Pyrenacantha volubilis* Hook. (Icacinaeae): A possible role of a plasmid in the production of camptothecin. *Phytomedicine* **36**: 160–167.
- STAJKOVIĆ O, DE MEYER S, MILIČIĆ B & WILLEMS A. 2009. Isolation and characterization of endophytic non-rhizobial bacteria from root nodules of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). *Botanica Serbica* **33**: 107–114.
- STONE JK, POLISHOOK JD & WHITE JF. 2004. *Endophytic fungi*. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington.
- STROBEL G. 2003. Endophytes as sources of bioactive products. *Microbes and Infection* **5**: 535–544.
- STROBEL G. 2006. Harnessing endophytes for industrial microbiology. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **9**: 240–244.
- STURZ AV, CHRISTIE BR & NOWAK J. 2000. Bacterial endophytes: potential role in developing sustainable systems of crop production. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **19**: 1–30.
- SYED CS, MOUNIKA PPN, MOUNIKA Y, KUMAR SS, BAI VT & AUDIPUDI AV. 2017. Evaluation of antimicrobial and antibiotic sensitivity of chilli root endophytic bacteria for eco-friendly biofertilizer. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **5**: 45–53.
- TAECHOWISAN T, CHAISAENG S & PHUTDHAWONG WS. 2017. Antibacterial, antioxidant and anticancer activities of biphenyls from *Streptomyces* sp. BO-07: an endophyte in *Boesenbergia rotunda* (L.) Mansf A. *Food and Agricultural Immunology* **28**: 1330–1346.
- TAGHAVI S, GARAFOLA C, MONCHY S, NEWMAN L, HOFFMAN A, WEYENS N, BARAC T, VANGRONSVELD J & VAN DER LELIE D. 2009. Genome survey and characterization of endophytic bacteria exhibiting a beneficial effect on growth and development of poplar trees. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **75**: 748–757.
- TAMOŠIŪNĖ I, STANIENĖ G, HAIMI P, STANYS V, RUGIENIUS R & BANIULIS D. 2018. Endophytic *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* spp. modulate apple shoot growth, cellular redox balance, and protein expression under *in vitro* conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **9**: 889.
- TIAN Y & LI YH. 2017. Comparative analysis of bacteria associated with different mosses by 16S rRNA and 16S rDNA sequencing. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* **57**: 57–67.
- TIWARI R, KALRA A, DAROKAR MP, CHANDRA M, AGGARWAL N, SINGH AK & KHANUJA SPS. 2010. Endophytic bacteria from *Ocimum sanctum* and their yield-enhancing capabilities. *Current Microbiology* **60**: 167–171.
- TRANIER M-S, POGNANT-GROS J, QUIROZ RDC, GONZÁLEZ CNA, MATEILLE T & ROUSSOS S. 2014. Commercial biological control agents targeted against plant-parasitic root-knot nematodes. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* **57**: 831–841.
- TURNER TR, JAMES EK & POOLE PS. 2013. The plant microbiome. *Genome Biology* **14**: 209.
- UMAMAHESWARI T, ANBUKKARASI K, HEMALATHA T & CHENDRAYAN K. 2013. Studies on phytohormone producing ability of indigenous endophytic bacteria isolated from tropical legume crops. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **2**: 127–136.
- VAN LOON L, BAKKER P & PIETERSE C. 1998. Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **36**: 453–483.
- VERMA VC, GOND SK, KUMAR A, MISHRA A, KHARWAR RN & GANGE AC. 2009. Endophytic actinomycetes from *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss.: isolation, diversity, and anti-microbial activity. *Microbial Ecology* **57**: 749–756.
- VIJAYALAKSHMI R, KAIRUNNISA K, SIVVASWAMY SN, DHARAN SS & NATARAJAN S. 2016. Enzyme production and antimicrobial activity of endophytic bacteria isolated from medicinal plants. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology* **9**: 1–8.
- VINAYARANI G & PRAKASH H. 2018. Growth promoting rhizospheric and endophytic bacteria from *Curcuma longa* L. as biocontrol agents against rhizome rot and leaf blight diseases. *The Plant Pathology Journal* **34**: 218.

- WANG S-S, LIU J-M, SUN J, SUN Y-F, LIU J-N, JIA N, FAN B & DAI X-F. 2019. Diversity of culture-independent bacteria and antimicrobial activity of culturable endophytic bacteria isolated from different *Dendrobium* stems. *Scientific Reports* **9**: 10389.
- WEBER O, BALDANI V, TEIXEIRA KDS, KIRCHHOF G, BALDANI J & DOBEREINER J. 1999. Isolation and characterization of diazotrophic bacteria from banana and pineapple plants. *Plant and Soil* **210**: 103–113.
- WEILHARTER A, MITTER B, SHIN MV, CHAIN PS, NOWAK J & SESSITSCH A. 2011. Complete genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting endophyte *Burkholderia phytofirmans* strain PsJN. *Journal of Bacteriology* **193**: 3383–3384.
- WILSON D. 1995. Endophyte: the evolution of a term, and clarification of its use and definition. *Oikos* **73**: 274–276.
- YAISH MW, ANTONY I & GLICK BR. 2015. Isolation and characterization of endophytic plant growth-promoting bacteria from date palm tree (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) and their potential role in salinity tolerance. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **107**: 1519–1532.
- YAN X, WANG Z, MEI Y, WANG L, WANG X, XU Q, PENG S, ZHOU Y & WEI C. 2018. Isolation, diversity, and growth-promoting activities of endophytic bacteria from tea cultivars of Zijuan and Yunkang-10. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **9**: 1848.
- YANG R, LIU P & YE W. 2017. Illumina-based analysis of endophytic bacterial diversity of tree peony (*Paeonia Sect. Moutan*) roots and leaves. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **48**: 695–705.
- YANNI YG, RIZK R, CORICH V, SQUARTINI A, NINKE K, PHILIP-HOLLINGSWORTH S, ORGAMBIDE G, DE BRUIJN F, STOLTZFUS J & BUCKLEY D. 1997. Natural endophytic association between *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv *trifolii* and rice roots and assessment of its potential to promote rice growth. In: LADHA JK, DE BRUIJN FJ & MALIK KA (eds.), *Opportunities for biological nitrogen fixation in rice and other non-legumes* **75**, pp. 99–114, Springer, Dordrecht.
- ZACHOW C, JAHANSHAH G, DE BRUIJN I, SONG C, IANNI F, PATAJ Z, GERHARDT H, PIANET I, LÄMMERHOFER M & BERG G. 2015. The novel lipopeptide poaeamide of the endophyte *Pseudomonas poae* RE\* 1-1-14 is involved in pathogen suppression and root colonization. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **28**: 800–810.
- ZAKRIA M, OHSAKO A, SAEKI Y, YAMAMOTO A & AKAO S. 2008. Colonization and growth promotion characteristics of *Enterobacter* sp. and *Herbaspirillum* sp. on *Brassica oleracea*. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* **54**: 507–516.
- ZINNIEL DK, LAMBRECHT P, HARRIS NB, FENG Z, KUCZMARSKI D, HIGLEY P, ISHIMARU CA, ARUNAKUMARI A, BARLETTA RG & VIDAVER AK. 2002. Isolation and characterization of endophytic colonizing bacteria from agronomic crops and prairie plants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **68**: 2198–2208.

---

**REZIME**

**Botonica**  
SERBICA

## Diverzitet i uloga endofitskih bakterija: pregled

Sofia S. Khan, Vijeshwar Verma i Shafaq Rasool

Endofite pripadaju širokoj grupi mikroorganizama koje naseljavaju unutarćelijske i međućelijske prostore svih poznatih delova biljaka, ali ne uzrokuju bolesti ili veće morfološke promene domaćina. Endofitne bakterije sveprisutno kolonizuju unutrašnja tkiva biljaka, gde mogu da formiraju različite interakcije, uključujući komensalističke, simbiotske, trofobiotske i mutualističke. Endofitne bakterije proizvode farmaceutski važna jedinjenja kao što su antimikrobni lekovi, antioksidanti, industrijski enzimi, lekovi protiv dijabetesa i kancera. Pored toga, endofite mogu da podrže svog domaćina stvaranjem različitih prirodnih proizvoda za potencijalnu upotrebu u medicini, poljoprivredi ili industriji. Ova grupa bakterija ima ogroman uticaj na biljne zajednice poboljšavajući njihovo opšte stanje kroz toleranciju na stres izazvan biotičkim i abiotičkim činiocima. Postoji veliki potencijal pronalaska, odabira i proučavanja novih vrsta endofitskih bakterija sa ciljem stvaranja novih mikrobnih preparata za adaptivno gajenje useva, dok se istovremeno smanjuje uticaj poljoprivrede na životnu sredinu. Ovaj pregled rezimira dosadašnje studije o endofitskim bakterijama, uključujući metode izolacije, njihovu raznolikost i biološku ulogu.

**KLJUČNE REČI:** endofite, biološka uloga, histološka lokalizacija, biokontrola, antimikrobna aktivnost