



DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/BOTSERB2001037G journal homepage: botanicaserbica.bio.bg.ac.rs

**Original Scientific Paper** 

# Micromorphology of an involucral bract and cypselae of the endemic and relict species *Amphoricarpos elegans* Albov (Asteraceae, Cardueae) from the Caucasus

# Milan Gavrilović<sup>1\*</sup>, Núria Garcia-Jacas<sup>2</sup>, Alfonso Susanna<sup>2</sup>, Arsena Bakhia<sup>3</sup>, Petar D. Marin<sup>1</sup> and Pedja Janaćković<sup>1</sup>

- 1 University of Belgrade, Faculty of Biology, Department of Morphology and Systematics of Plants, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade 11000, Serbia
- 2 Botanic Institute of Barcelona (IBB, CSIC-ICUB), Pg. del Migdia s. n., 08038 Barcelona, Spain
- 3 Ilia State University School of Natural Sciences and Engineering, Cholokashvili Avenue 3/5, 0160 Tbilisi, Georgia.
- \* correspondence: mgavrilovic@bio.bg.ac.rs

#### **ABSTRACT:**

In this study, micromorphology of an involucral bract and inner and outer dimorphic cypselae of the relict Amphoricarpos elegans, endemic from western Caucasus, is examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) and presented here for the first time. The middle involucral bract is nearly glabrous, with a reticulate-rugulose surface and an oblong-obtusate mucro. Both cypselae exhibit similar features, viz., narrowly oblong-cylindrical or obovate shape with more or less conspicuous longitudinal ribs, an adaxial detachment area, an asymmetrical carpopodium, absence of a pericarp crown and a barbate-aristate pappus made up of narrow, subulate, basally smooth and apically barbate bristles. However, some differences are evident. The outer cypsela is glabrescent and flattened, with an obscure outline of epidermal cells lacking an end wall and with a sunken periclinal surface and striatereticulate structure. Also, the outer cypsela has two entire, narrow, lateral wings, rounded above; and less numerous pappus bristles in one row. In contrast to this, the inner cypsela has a distinct outline of the epidermal cells, which are rod-shaped with a short acute end wall, a swollen periclinal surface and reticulate structure. The pappus of the inner cypsela possesses many more bristles organised in two rows (a biseriate pappus). Also, slightly pentagonal or round nectary remnants are found in the centre of the upper part of the inner cypsela. The taxonomic value of the analysed characters is briefly discussed.

**Keywords**: dimorphic cypselae, SEM, Xerantheminae

UDC: 581.47:582.99(234.9)

Received: 26 August 2019 Revision accepted: 04 December 2019

# **INTRODUCTION**

The genus *Amphoricarpos* Vis. belongs to the subtribe Xerantheminae (Cardueae-Asteraceae) (HERRANDO-MORAI-RA *et al.* 2019). Xerantheminae includes unarmed annual (*Chardinia* Desf., *Xeranthemum* L. and *Siebera* J.Gay) and perennial (*Shangwua* Yu J. Wang, Raab-Straube, Susanna & J. Quan Liu and *Amphoricarpos*) plants with extremely diverse and complicated geographic distribution (WANG *et al.* 2013; HERRANDO-MORAIRA *et al.* 2019). The genus Amphoricarpos includes heterocarpic perennial plants. The Amphoricarpos taxa are limestone chasmophytic mountain plants with a disjunct distribution. Two species grow on the Balkan Peninsula [A. autariatus Blečić & E. Mayer and A. neumayerianus (Vis.) Greuter], two in Anatolia (A. exsul O. Schwarz and A. praedictus Ayasligil & Grierson) and one in the Caucasus (A. elegans Albov). Such a disjunct distribution indicates that Amphoricarpos taxa belong to an orophytic flora of Tertiary age and represent a connection between the Balkan Peninsula, Asia Minor and the Caucasus (TURRIL 1929). Taxonomy of the genus is rather complicated, especially in the case of the Balkan taxa (BLEČIĆ & MAYER 1967; CAKOVIĆ *et al.* 2015).

Amphoricarpos elegans inhabits limestone rocks and stony slopes in the alpine zone (1900 - 2300 m a.s.l.) of the Caucasus. Compared to the Mediterranean region, the Caucasus is distinctive by virtue of its unique habitats and genetic species diversity, which are a result of its edaphic and climatic conditions, well expressed geographical isolation and high hypsometric levels (SHETEKAURI & KUTATE-LADZE 2017). The Caucasus region is characterised by high endemism and represents one of the world's biodiversity hotspots (AKHALKATSI et al. 2012). Out of 4130 species of the flora of Georgia, 1304 (32.3%) are endemics of the Caucasus, while 261 (6.6%) are endemics of Georgia (SCHATZ et al. 2009). Among calciphytes of the botanical-geographical province of Cochis, one of the most distinctive species is A. elegans (SHETEKAURI & KUTATELADZE 2017), which belongs to the group of "limestone endemics" of the western Caucasus Mountains (LINCZEVSKY 1962).

Micromorphological characters, especially those of the cypsela, have been widely used in detecting taxonomic and phylogenetic relationships within the family Asteraceae (Abid & Qaiser 2009; Gavrilović et al. 2019; Ozcan & ACINCI 2019). PETIT (1997) performed a cladistic analysis on 45 Cardueae genera, including Amphoricarpos, and presented 75 characters, including characters of involucral bracts and cypselae. Regarding Amphoricarpos, some of his notes were as follows: the keeled portion of true involucral bract is narrow in A. elegans, whereas it is wider, often (irregularly) split lengthwise in A. neumayerianus. Moreover, PETIT (1997) mentioned that the ancestral type of true bracts is certainly a simple tongue. Also, most trichomes on the cypsela surface in A. elegans are twin trichomes, but a few trichomes were found to consist of four to five cells (PETIT 1997). Additionally, the indicated author suggested that multicellular trichomes could be derived from twin trichomes. In writing about the taxonomic position of A. elegans, LINCZEVSKY (1962) discussed the characters used by Albov in describing the section Chodatella Alb., where he classified A. elegans. Some of the characters were: cypselae of pistillate florets have wings, rounded above and without corniculate projections; pappus scales are narrow, almost setaceous, numerous (30 - 40); and stems are leafed almost to the tip. However, LINCZEVSKY (1962) mentioned that receptacular scales in A. elegans are not always entire, and that slightly lacerated scales are very common.

It was shown that cypsela features are very informative at the generic level within the Xerantheminae (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019). In a previous investigation, we examined leaf micromorphology of *A. elegans* and its relationship with production of sesquiterpenes lactones and antioxidative activity (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2018a). The morpho-anatomical study of *A. elegans* done by PETIT (1977) represents a valuable contribution to knowledge about the micromorphology of this species, but it lacks SEM micrographs. Thus, *A. elegans* is almost unexplored from the micromorphological point of view. Therefore, the aims of our present study were to: (1) examine micromorphology of the involucral bract and both (inner and outer) cypselae; and (2) briefly evaluate their taxonomic value.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Plant Material.** Plant material (one middle involucral bract and two cypselae) of *A. elegans* was collected by Arsena Bakhia in 2015 during the flowering period from plants growing in a natural habitat: on Mt. Migaria, Samegrelo, Georgia (N 42.6479800; E 42.63992537). Species identification and classification were done according to WEBB (1976) and LINCZEVSKY (1962). Voucher specimens were deposited in the herbarium of the Institute of Botany and Botanical Garden "Jevremovac", Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade (accession number: BEOU 17420).

**Micromorphological analysis.** The micromorphological analysis was carried out using a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Dry involucral bracts and both cypselae (inner and outer) were sputter-coated with gold for 180 s at 30 mA (BAL-TEC SCD 005) and observed using a JEOL JSM-6460LV electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. The description of cypsela wall sculpturing follows BARTHLOTT (1981), that of trichome morphology follows WURDACK (1986), the pappus description follows SMALL (1919) and the detachment area of cypselae is described according to HÄFFNER (2000).

# RESULTS

#### Involucral bract micromorphology

Micrographs of the middle involucral bract are shown in Fig. 1. The involucral bract is paleaceous, glabrous or nearly so, with vermiform (lanate) trichomes sparsely distributed near the margins and on the tip (Fig. 1a, b). The surface of the involucral bract is reticulate-rugulose covered with epicuticular wax (Fig. 1a, b). Sunken stomata are also observed (Fig. 1c). The mucro is oblong-obtusate (Fig. 1b).

#### Cypsela micromorphology

Amphoricarpos elegans possesses dimorphic cypselae. Micrographs of the inner cypsela are shown in Fig. 2, while micrographs of the outer cypsela are shown in Fig. 3. Inner cypselae, derived from a bisexual floret, are yellowish-brownish, sericeous, narrowly oblong-cylindrical or obovate, with more or less conspicuous longitudinal ribs (costae) (Fig. 2a). Outer cypselae, derived from a female floret, are yellowish-brownish, glabrescent, flattened, narrowly oblong-cylindrical or obovate, with more or less conspicuous longitudinal ribs (costae) and with two narrow entire lateral wings, rounded above (Fig. 3a, b). The detachment area of both cypselae is adaxial (Figs. 2b and 3b). The abscission zone is surrounded by a special struc-



**Fig. 1.** Micrographs of middle involucral bract of *A. elegans*. **a** - Vermiform trichomes on the margin and on the tip; **b** - Oblong-obtusate mucro, reticulate-rugulose surface; **c** - Sunken stomata with epicuticular wax.

ture, the carpopodium, which is asymmetrical and forms a horseshoe cap (Figs. 2b and 3b). Cell wall outlines are poorly visible, which caused the smooth appearance of

the carpopodium (Figs. 2b and 3b). The cells of the cypselae surface are parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruit (Figs. 2c and 3c). The outline of the epidermal cells of the inner cypsela is distinct, in contrast to the obscure outline in the outer cypsela (Figs. 2c and 3c). Epidermal cells are rod-shaped with a short acute end wall in the inner cypsela, while these cells in the outer cypsela are obscure in outline without an end wall (Figs. 2c, 2d, 3c and 3d). The periclinal surface is swollen in the inner cypsela and sunken in the outer cypsela (Figs. 2c and 3c). Surface structure of the inner cypsela is reticulate, while in the outer cypsela it is striate-reticulate (Figs. 2c and 3c). Short twin trichomes, shortly-forked on the top, are sparsely present on the inner cypsela's surface (Fig. 2c-e), while trichomes on the outer cypsela's surface are very short and sparsely distributed, making the surface nearly glabrous (Fig. 3c, d). Also, there are no trichomes on wings of the outer cypsela (Fig. 3e). The forked twin trichomes have more or less equal acute apical tips (Figs. 2d and 3d, e) and they are hollow (Fig. 2e). Both cypselae of A. elegans lack glandular trichomes on the surface. The apical pericarp rim is poorly developed and the pericarp crown is totally absent in both cypselae (Figs. 2f and 3f). In the upper part of cypselae, a homomorphic, uniseriate, persistent pappus is present (Figs. 2a, f, g and 3a, g, h). The pappus is barbate-aristate, formed by bristles that are narrow, subulate, basally smooth and apically barbate (Figs. 2f-h and 3g, h). Bristles are numerous (there are more in the inner cypsela), almost the same size, more or less connate at the base (Figs. 2a, f, g and 3f, g) and organised in two rows in the inner cypsela (pappus biseriate) (Fig. 2a, f, g). Pinnules are sparsely distributed on the outer side of the bristles (Figs. 2f-h and 3g, h). Colour of the pappus in both cypselae varies from yellowish to white. In the centre of the upper part of the inner cypsela, a trace of the style base is observed, filled with parenchymatous and vascular tissue surrounded by round or slightly pentagonal nectary remnants, which are barely noticeable (Fig. 2g).

### DISCUSSION

Our results (Table 1) show that the middle involucral bract of *A. elegans* is similar to those of *Amphoricarpos exsul* and *Shangwua masarica* (Lipsky) Yu J. Wang & Raab-Straube (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019) regarding the presence and distribution of vermiform trichomes, which are on the margins and near the tip. However, the mucro of the involucral bract is oblong-obtusate in *A. elegans*, while in *A. exsul* it is very short (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019). In addition, our results show that the middle involucral bract of *A. elegans* is slightly arachnoid-hairy and crimped-ciliate along the margin to almost glabrous and mucronate, which agrees with the observation of LINCZEVSKY (1962). It was previously shown that annual genera of the subtribe Xerantheminae produce weddellite crystals on the surface of involucral bracts (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2017, 2019). These crystals



**Fig. 2.** Micrographs of inner cypsela of *A. elegans.* **a** - Narrowly oblong-cylindrical or obovate shape of cypsela; **b** - Adaxial detachment area and asymmetrical carpopodium; **c** - Reticulate-rugulose surface; **d**, **e** - Nonglandular, shortly-forked, twin trichomes; **f** - Pappus directly attached to the pericarp wall; **g** - Barbate-aristate pappus organised in two rows (pappus biseriate) and nectary remnants; **h** - Sparsely distributed pinnules on the outer side of the bristles.

Plant parts	Character	A. elegans	A. exsul*
Involucral bract	Surface	glabrescent, hairy on margins	glabrescent, hairy on margins
	Wax depositions	present	not recorded
	Weddellite crystals	absent	absent
	Mucro	oblong-obtusate	very short
Cypsela	Surface	sparsely sericeous	sericeous
	Indument	non-glandular, twin trichomes	non-glandular, much longer twin trichomes
	Detachment area	adaxial	adaxial
	Carpopodium	asymmetrical, forming a horseshoe cup with smooth outermost walls	asymmetrical, forming a horseshoe cup with smooth outermost walls
	Pericarp crown	absent	absent
Pappus	Structure	barbato-aristate, homomorphic, uniseriate, persistent	barbato-aristate, homomorphic, uniseriate, persistent
	Insertion	directly attached on the upper edge of the cypsela	directly attached on the upper edge of the cypsela
	Pappus elements	subulate, basally smooth and apically barbellate bristles; inner cypsela with many more bristles organised in two rows (pappus biseriate)	subulate, basally smooth and apically barbellate bristles; inner cypsela with many more bristles, outer cypsela with more small pinnules on the bristle surface

Table 1. Comparison of micromorphological characteristics of the involucral bracts and cypselae of Amphoricapros elegans and A. exsul.

\*Gavrilović et al. (2019)

were not observed on the surface of the involucral bract of *A. elegans*, which confirms that only annual genera of the subtribe Xerantheminae produce these crystals.

Plant material for the study was very limited, and we did not perform measurements. Both cypselae have a narrowly oblong-cylindrical or obovate shape. The shape of cypselae is rarely used in taxonomy (POPE 1983; CRON *et al.* 1993; ROY *et al.* 2013). However, certain shapes are characteristic for some Cardueae subtribes: cypselae are oblong in Berardiinae; linear-oblong in Staehelininae; obovoid or fusiform in Onopordinae; obovoid-fusiform and laterally compressed in Carduinae; cylindrical, slightly obconical or obpyramidal in Saussureinae; cylindrical to narrowly obovoid, usually laterally compressed or 4-costate, rarely shortly winged in Arctiinae; and obovoid and laterally compressed in Centaureinae (HERRANDO-MORAIRA *et al.* 2019). DITTRICH (1996) mentioned that *Amphoricarpos* has elongated clavate inner cypselae.

The inner cypsela of *A. elegans* sporadically possesses twin trichomes on the surface, while the outer cypsela is nearly glabrous. Our results partly agree with LINCZEVSKY (1962), who noted that cypselae of pistillate florets have sparse short appressed pubescence, while those of bisexual florets have dense short appressed pubescence. In contrast to the findings of PETIT (1997), trichomes consisting of four to five cells were not observed in our study. Cypselae of *A. exsul* are sericeous (albeit the outer cypselae less so) with much longer trichomes (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019) compared to *A. elegans* (Table 1). Twin trichomes usually occur on the cypselae surface in Asteraceae members (ROBINSON 2009). These trichomes, formed by two elongated parallel cells, are specific to the cypsela epidermis (HESS 1938). According to HESS (1938), the main function of twin trichomes might be water absorption, which could facilitate germination and contribute to seed dispersal. This statement is supported by our observation that these trichomes are hollow. Also, in Cardueae, cypselae are glabrous in most representatives of Berardiinae, Staehelininae, Onopordinae, Carduinae, Saussureinae, Arctiinae and Centaureinae, and usually hirsute or densely sericeous in Carlininae, Cardopatiinae, Echinopsinae and Xerantheminae (HERRANDO-MORAIRA *et al.* 2019).

The arrangement, shape and ornamentation of fruit epidermal cells are emphasised in numerous taxonomical studies (CRON *et al.* 1993; PAK *et al.* 2001; KARANOVIĆ *et al.* 2016). Both ribbed and non-ribbed cypselae are found in Asteraceae (ABID & QAISER 2009). A character that is evident in the subtribes Staehelininae, Carduinae, Saussureinae and Centaureinae is possession of a smooth pericarp, while a rugose pericarp is found in Onopordinae and Arctiinae (HERRANDO-MORAIRA *et al.* 2019). Both studied cypselae of *A. elegans* are with more or less conspicuous longitudinal ribs, while sculpturing of the fruit wall surface is different. It should be noted that cypselae



Fig. 3. Micrographs of outer cypsela of *A. elegans*. **a** - Narrowly oblong-cylindrical or obovate shape of cypsela; **b** - Adaxial detachment area and asymmetrical carpopodium; **c** - Glabrescent cypsela with reticulate-rugulose surface; **d** - Nonglandular, shortly-forked, twin trichomes; **e** - Wing of cypsela without trichomes; **f** - Pappus directly attached to the pericarp wall; **g** - Barbate-aristate pappus organised in one row; **h** - Sparsely distributed pinnules on the outer side of the bristles.

of Xerantheminae are densely sericeous, except in *Sh. masarica* (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019), and for this reason the cypsela surface could not always be examined, especially in the inner cypselae of *Amphoricarpos*. However, the surface of the cypselae of *Chardinia orientalis* Desf. is papillose-striate, very distinct from what is observed in other genera (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019). We also found that the outer cypselae of *A. elegans* have two entire narrow lateral wings, rounded above, which is in agreement with LINCZEVSKY (1962).

Both investigated cypselae have an adaxial detachment area, which has already been documented for other Xerantheminae members (GAVRILOVIĆ et al. 2018b, 2019). Another feature that connects the examined species with other members of Xerantheminae is the presence of an asymmetrical carpopodium (GAVRILOVIĆ et al. 2018b, 2019). The carpopodium is a zone with visible sclerified pericarp epidermis (MATTFELD 1923; HAQUE & GOD-WARD 1984), which facilitates abscission from the receptacle (JOHN 1921) and occurs in many tribes of the Asteraceae (HAQUE & GODWARD 1984). The carpopodium can be symmetrical or (more usually) asymmetrical, indistinct to prominent, or even absent (HAQUE & GODWARD 1984; Funk et al. 2009). Mukherjee & Nordestam (2008) previously reported that the majority of Cardueae members have a strongly asymmetrical ring-like carpopodium. OZCAN & AKINCI (2019) documented an asymmetrical carpopodium in three species from different subtribes: Callicephalus nitens (M.Bieb. ex Willd.) C.A.Meyer (Centaureinae), Onopordum turcicum Danin (Onopordinae) and Xeranthemum annuum L. (Xerantheminae). Shape and cellular structure of the carpopodium are used in taxonomic studies (KING & ROBINSON 1966; SUNDBERG 1985).

Both types of cypselae have a persistent barbate-aristate pappus, but bristles are more numerous in the inner cypsela and arranged in two rows. This result agrees with our earlier investigation (GAVRILOVIĆ et al. 2019), as we found that a pappus formed by bristles is present in A. exsul and Sh. masarica, while one formed by scales is present in the annual species of Xerantheminae. Moreover, the description of pappus bristles of A. exsul (GAVRILOVIĆ et al. 2019) fits what is observed in A. elegans as well. A persistent pappus is also present in Berardiinae, Carlininae, Cardopatiinae, Echinopsinae and Xerantheminae (HER-RANDO-MORAIRA et al. 2019). In cypselae of Cardueae, pinnules of the pappus bristles can be shorter than width of the bristle (a scabrate bristle), as long as width of the bristle (a pinnulate bristle) or much longer and like a capillary (a plumose bristle; cf. SUSANNA & GARCIA-JACAS 2009). Pappus bristles exhibit structural features which are useful in the delimitation of genera (Häffner 2000; MUKHERJEE & NORDESTAM 2008; GAVRILOVIĆ et al. 2019).

LINCZEVSKY (1962) stated that pappus scales in A. *elegans* are more numerous (30 - 40), narrower, almost setaceous and finely toothed-scabrous compared to those of

A. neumayerianus, which are much less numerous, always broader, narrowly linear and indistinctly finely serrate-ciliate (according to GAVRILOVIĆ, unpublished data). However, LINCZEVSKY (1962) did not mention arrangement of the pappus bristles in two rows, which is clearly visible in our micrographs of the inner cypsela of *A. elegans*. The pappus in it is a biseriate pappus formed by more or less equal bristles in two undifferentiated rows. Moreover, this arrangement of pappus bristles is not present in cypselae of other members of the Xerantheminae (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019), and the inner cypselae of Balkan *Amphoricarpos* taxa do not show the given character (GAVRILOVIĆ, unpublished data). This feature is therefore of high taxonomic importance and represents a very good character for separating *A. elegans*.

Our results confirm that the pappus is directly joined to the pericarp of both cypselae, as in other members of the Xerantheminae (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019). Within the tribe Cardueae, the pappus is directly attached to the pericarp wall in the subtribes Carlininae, Cardopatiinae, Echinopsinae, Berardiinae and Xerantheminae (HERRANDO-MOR-AIRA *et al.* 2019). Colour of the pappus is also a taxonomically important character (HÄFFNER 2000; GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019). In *A. elegans*, it varies from yellowish to white, which is similar to colour of the pappus in other Xerantheminae members (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019).

We observed remnants of nectar secreting tissue encircling the base of the style trace at the top of the inner cypsela. BERNARDELLO (2007) stated that inner bisexual florets in the capitulum are usually nectariferous, while nectaries are either absent or inconspicuous on outer female or neuter florets, a circumstance which might have taxonomic value. The presence or absence of nectaries, their arrangement and other features (e.g., type, topography, morpho-anatomy) can have taxonomic importance in delimiting generic or species groups (SMETS 1986; BERNARDELLO 2007; SULBORSKA 2011). KARANOVIĆ et al. (2016) confirmed this for certain species of Inula L. It follows that the arrangement of nectaries of A. elegans, which we noticed as round or slightly pentagonal, may have taxonomic importance. Thus, while characteristics of nectaries may have taxonomic importance, data available for the subtribe Xerantheminae and for the Cardueae in general are scarce, and this should be considered in future investigations.

Heterocarpy is an extremely widespread phenomenon in Asteraceae (BENEKE *et al.* 1992). Different cypsela morphs may show different strategies in dispersion and germination and enable species to adapt to changeable environments (JEFFREY 2009). In the Xeranthemineae, some members (*Amphoricarpos* and *Chardinia*) possess dimorphic cypselae (GAVRILOVIĆ *et al.* 2019). It has been shown that microsculpture patterns can have an impact on cypsela dispersion (BARTHLOTT 1981; LI & DU 2015). Also, the cypsela microsculpture may play a critical role in adaptation to higher altitudes (LI & DU 2015). We found a difference of microstructures and morphs between the inner and outer cypselae of *A. elegans*. These differences can affect the dispersal ability of cypselae of this species.

Micromorphological studies of other *Amphoricarpos* taxa and other species from the Xerantheminae and Cardueae should be continued in order to evaluate their taxonomic and phylogenetic value. Combining micromorphology with other approaches such as anatomical, phytochemical and molecular analysis will help to better understand the taxonomy and phylogeny of the subtribe Xerantheminae.

# CONCLUSION

This is the first micromorphological study of involucral bracts and both cypselae (inner and outer) of the endemic and relict species *A. elegans* from the Caucasus. Middle involucral bracts are similar to those described for other *Amphoricarpos* taxa (*A. exsul*), except for the nature of the mucro. Both cypselae exhibit features similar to those observed in other Xerantheminae members. However, the outer cypselae are glabrescent, flattened, winged, with pappus bristles organised in one row, in contrast to the biseriate pappus of the inner cypselae. Also, barely noticeable nectaries are found in the centre of the upper part of the inner cypselae. This study represents a contribution to knowledge about micromophology of the species *A. elegans* and that of the genus *Amphoricarpos*.

Acknowledgements – Financial support was provided by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (Project No. 173029). Many thanks to Mr. Miloš Bokorov from the University Centre for Electron Microscopy, Novi Sad, for his technical assistance and contribution in SEM analysis.

#### REFERENCES

- ABID RD & QAISER M. 2009. Taxonomic significance of the cypsela morphology in the tribe Anthemideae (Asteraceae) from Pakistan and Kashmir. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **41**: 555–579.
- AKHALKATSI M, EKHVAIA J & ASANIDZE Z. 2012. Diversity and genetic erosion of ancient crops and wild relatives of agricultural cultivars for food: implications for nature conservation in Georgia (Caucasus). In: TIEFENBACHER J (ed.), *Perspectives on nature conservation-patterns, pressures and prospects*, pp. 51–92, InTech, Rijeka.
- BARTHLOTT W. 1981. Epidermal and seed surface characters of plants: systematic applicability and some evolutionary aspects. *Nordic Journal of Botany* 1(3): 345-355.
- BENEKE K, TEICHMAN I VON, VAN ROOYEN MW & THERON GK. 1992. Fruit polymorphism in ephemeral species of Namaqualand. I. Anatomical differences between polymorphic diaspores of two *Dimorphotheca* species. II. Anatomical differences between polymorphic diaspores of *Arctotisfastuosa* and *Ursiniacakilefolia*. South African Journal of Botany 58: 448–455, 456–460.

- BERNARDELLO G. 2007. A systematic survey of floral nectaries. In: NICOLSON SW, NEPI M, PACINI E (eds.), *Nectaries and nectar*, pp.19–128, Springer, The Netherlands.
- BLEČIĆ V & MAYER E. 1967. Die europäischen Sippen der Gattung Amphoricarpos Visiani. Phyton (Austria) 12:150–158.
- CAKOVIĆ D, STEŠEVIĆ D, SCHÖNSWETTER P & FRAJMAN B. 2015. How many taxa? Spatiotemporal evolution and taxonomy of *Amphoricarpos* (Asteraceae, Carduoideae) on the Balkan Peninsula. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution* **15**:429–445.
- CRON GV, ROBERTSE PJ & VINCENT PLD. 1993. The anatomy of the cypselae of species of *Cineraria* L. (Asteraceae-Senecioneae) and its taxonomic significance. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **112**: 319–334.
- DITTRICH M. 1996. Die Bedeutung morphologischer und anatomischer Achänen-Merkmale für die Systematik der Tribus Echinopeae Cass. und Carlineae Cass. *Boissiera* **51**: 9–102.
- FUNK VA, SUSANNA A, STUESSY TF & ROBINSON H. 2009. Classification of Compositae. In: FUNK VA, SUSANNA A, STUESSY TF & BAYER RJ (eds.), *Systematics, evolution, and* geography of Compositae, pp. 171–189, IAPT, Vienna.
- GAVRILOVIĆ M, DE OLIVEIRA AFM, BARBOSA MO, GAR-CIA-JACAS N, SUSANNA A, MARIN PD & JANAĆKOVIĆ P. 2018b. Cypselae micromorphology and fatty acid composition of *Xeranthemum cylindraceum* Sm. (Asteraceae, Cardueae). *Botanica Serbica* **42**: 241–250.
- GAVRILOVIĆ M, ERIĆ S, MARIN PD, GARCIA-JACAS N, SUSANNA A & JANAĆKOVIĆ P. 2017. Scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive spectrometric analysis reveals for the first time weddellite and sylvite crystals on the surface of involucral bracts and petals of two *Xeranthemum* L. (Compositae) species. *Microscopy* and Microanalysis 23: 679–686.
- GAVRILOVIĆ M, GARCIA-JACAS N, SUSANNA A, MARIN PD & JANAĆKOVIĆ P. 2019. How does micromorphology reflect taxonomy within the *Xeranthemum* group (Cardueae-Asteraceae)? *Flora* **252**: 51–61.
- GAVRILOVIĆ M, TEŠEVIĆ V, ĐORĐEVIĆ I, RAJČEVIĆ N, BAKHIA A, GARCIA-JACAS N, SUSANNA A, MARIN PD & JANAĆKOVIĆ P. 2018a. Leaf micromorphology, antioxidative activity and a new record of 3-deoxyamphoricarpolide of relict and limestone endemic *Amphoricarpos elegans* Albov (Compositae) from Georgia. *Archives of Biological Sciences* **70**: 613–620.
- HÄFFNER E. 2000. On the phylogeny of the subtribe Carduinae (tribe Cardueae, Compositae). *Englera* **21**: 1–208.
- HAQUE MZ & GODWARD MBE. 1984. New records of the carpopodium in Compositae and its taxonomic use. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **89**: 321–340.
- HERRANDO-MORAIRA S, the Cardueae Radiations Group (in alphabetical order), CALLEJA JA, GALBANY-CASALS M, GARCIA-JACAS N, LIU J-Q, LÓPEZ-ALVARADO J, LÓPEZ-PUJOL J, MANDEL JR, MASSÓ S, MONTES-MORENO N, ROQUET C, SÁEZ L, SENNIKOV A, SUSANNA A & VI-LATERSANA R. 2019. Nuclear and plastid DNA phylogeny of the tribe Cardueae (Compositae) with Hyb-Seq data: A new subtribal classification and a temporal diversification framework. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **137**: 313–332.

HESS R. 1938. Vergleichende Untersuchungen über die Zwillingshaareder Compositen. *Botanische Jahrbücher fur Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie* **68**: 435–496.

JEFFREY C. 2009. Evolution of Compositae flowers. In: FUNK VA, SUSANNA A, STUESSY TF & BAYER RJ (eds.), Systematics, evolution and biogeography of Compositae, pp. 131–138, IAPT, Vienna.

JOHN A. 1921. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Ablösungseinrichtungen der Kompositenfrüchte. *Beiheftezum Botanischen Centralblatt, Abteilung I* **38**: 182–203.

KARANOVIĆ D, ZORIĆ L, ZLATKOVIĆ B, BOŽA P & LUKOVIĆ J. 2016. Carpological and receptacular morpho-anatomical characters of *Inula*, *Dittrichia*, *Limbarda* and *Pulicaria* species (Compositae, Inuleae): taxonomic implications. *Flora* **219**: 48–61.

KING RM & ROBINSON H. 1966. Generic limitations in the *Hofmeisteria* complex (Compositae-Eupatorieae). *Phytologia* **12**: 465–476.

LI Z & DU G. 2015. Ecological adaptation of the seed microsculptures of *Saussurea* from different altitudes (Qinghai-Tibet Plateau). *Polish Journal of Ecology* **63**: 593–598.

LINCZEVSKY IA. 1962. Compositae tribes Echinopsideae and Cynareae. In: SCHISCHKIN BK & BOBROV FG (eds.), *Flora of the USSR 27*, pp. 74–79, Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Moscow-Leningrad.

MATTFELD J. 1923. Compositae. In: PILGER R (ed.), *Plantae Ltitzelburgianae brasilienses* **8**, pp. 425–451, Notizblatt des Botanischen Gartens, Berlin-Dahlem.

MUKHERJEE SK & NORDENSTAM B. 2008. Diversity of pappus structure in some tribes of the Asteraceae. *Phytotaxonomy* **8**: 32–46.

OZCAN M & AKINCI N. 2019. Micromorpho-anatomical fruit characteristics and pappus features of representative Cardueae (Asteraceae) taxa: Their systematic significance. *Flora* **256**: 16–35.

PAK JH, PARK JK & WHANG SS. 2001. Systematic implications of fruit wall anatomy and surface sculpturing of *Microseris* (Asteraceae, Lactuceae) and relatives. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **162**: 209–220.

PETIT DP. 1997. Generic interrelationships of the Cardueae (Compositae): a cladistic analysis of morphological data. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **207**: 173–203.

POPE GV. 1983. Cypselas and trichomes as a source of taxonomic characters in the erlangeoid genera. *Kirkia* **12**: 203–231.

ROBINSON H. 2009. An introduction to micro-characters of Compositae. In: FUNK VA, SUSANNA A, STUESSY TF & BAYER RJ (eds.), *Systematics, evolution and biogeography* of Compositae, pp. 89–100, IAPT, Vienna.

ROY S, MONDAL T & MUKHERJEE SK. 2013. Diversity of cypselar characters in some members of tribe Inuleae (Asteraceae). *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Bio-science* **2**: 82–98.

SCHATZ G, SHULKINA T, NAKHUTSRISHVILI G, BATSAT-SASHVILI K, TAMANYAN K, ALI-ZADE V, KIKODZE D, GELTMAN D & EKIM T. 2009. Development of plant red list assessments for the Caucasus biodiversity hotspot. In: ZAZANASHVILI N & MALLON D (eds.), *Status and protection of globally threatened species in the Caucasus*, pp. 188–192, CEPF, WWF, Contour Ltd., Tbilisi. SHETEKAURI S & KUTATELADZE L. 2017. Diversity, ecotopology and hypsometric distribution of the endemic flora in high-mountain phytolandscapes of the Caucasus. *Earth* **6**: 38–48.

SMALL J. 1919. The origin and development of the Compositae. *New Phytologist* 18: 129–176.

SMETS E. 1986. Localization and systematic importance of the floral nectaries in the Magnoliatae (Dicotyledones). Bulletin du Jardin Botanique National de Belgique 56: 51–76.

SULBORSKA A. 2011. Micromorphology of flowers, anatomy and ultrastructure of *Chamomilla recutita* (Asteraceae) nectary. *Acta Agrobotanica* **64**: 23–34.

SUNDBERG S. 1985. Micromorphological characters as generic markers in the Asteraceae. *Taxon* **34**: 31–37.

SUSANNA A & GARCIA-JACAS N. 2009. Cardueae (Carduoideae). In: FUNK VA, SUSANNA A, STUESSY TF & BAYER RJ (eds.), Systematics, evolution and biogeography of Compositae, pp. 293–313, IAPT, Vienna.

TURRIL WB. 1929. The plant life of the Balkan Peninsula: A phytogeographical study. Clarendon Press, Oxford.

WANG YJ, RAAB-STRAUBE E, SUSANNA A & LIU JQ. 2013. *Shangwua* (Compositae), a new genus from the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and Himalayas. *Taxon* **62**: 984–996.

WEBB DA. 1976. Amphoricarpos Vis. In: TUTIN TG, HEY-WOOD VH, BURGES NA, MOORE DM, VALENTINE DH, WALTERS SM & WEBB DA (eds.), Flora Europaea, vol. 4, Plantaginaceae to Compositae (and Rubiaceae), p. 243, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

WURDACK JJ. 1986. Atlas of hairs for neotropical Melastomataceae. Smithsonian Contributions to Botany 63: 1-80.

REZIME

# Mikromorfologija involukralne brakteje i cipsela endemične i reliktne vrste Amphoricarpos elegans Albov. (Asteraceae, Cardueae) sa Kavkaza

Botanica

Milan Gavrilović, Núria Garcia-Jacas, Alfonso Susanna, Arsena Bakhia, Petar D. Marin i Pedja Janaćković

U ovom radu po prvi put je analizirana mikromorfologija involukralne brakteje i centralne i obodne cipsele reliktne vrste *Amphoricarpos elegans*, endemične za Kavkaz, pomoću skenirajućeg elektronskog mikroskopa (SEM). Srednja involukralna brakteja je skoro gola, sa mrežastonaboranom površinom i duguljasto-zatupastim šiljkom (mukro). Obe cipsele pokazuju slične karakteristike kao što su: usko duguljastocilindrični ili objajasti oblik sa manje ili više vidljivim uzdužnim rebrima, adaksijalna zona odvajanja, asimetričan karpopodijum, odsustvo krune perikarpa i bradato-osasto zašiljen, homomorfan, šilast, trajan papus sa jednorednim, bazalno glatkim i apikalno bradatim čekinjama. Međutim, neke razlike su očigledne. Obodna cipsela je skoro gola i pljosnata, sa nejasno uočljivim granicama zidova epidermskih ćelija koje su sa udubljenom periklinalnom površinom i ima isprugano-mrežastu strukturu. Takođe, obodna cipsela ima dva cela, uska, bočna krila, koja su zaobljena u zoni papusa i manji broj čekinja papusa (jednoredni papus). Nasuprot, centralna cipsela ima uočljive granice zidova epidermskih ćelija, koje su štapićastog oblika, sa kratkim, šiljatim završecima zidova, sa ispupčenim periklinalnim površinama i mrežaste je strukture. Papus centralne cipsele se sastoji od većeg broja čekinja koje su organizovane u dva reda (dvoredni papus).Takođe, ostaci nektarija, okruglog ili blago petouganog oblika, su nađeni u centru gornjeg dela centralne cipsele. Taksonomski značaj analiziranih karaktera je ukratko diskutovan.

Ključne reči: dimorfne cipsele, SEM, Xerantheminae